

**ATTACHMENT 1**  
**East Cambridge Planning Team**  
**Response to**  
**Cambridge Research Park ENF**

This attachment provides comment by section to ENF #11657 dated June 1, 1998 and submitted by Lyme Properties, LLC.

<u>Section</u>	<u>Comment</u>
<i>Attachment 1 - Summary</i>	

I.A.2.     Address is exactly 364 Third Street

3.     Est. Commencement of 1998 seems unrealistic. Since 5% of design is completed, a PUD application had not been submitted nor reviewed, and several zoning petitions and City Council Orders pertaining to the site have not been resolved, the commencement date is suspect.

Est. Completion of 2003 is too aggressive. Kendall Sq. development project was done over 20 years and impacts of each phase was built into the following phases. There is no margin for error on this proposal.

4.     No acres of tidelands to be altered is contradictory to Lyme's proposal to extend Broad Canal to Third Street. If "None" is the answer here, we assume that canal expansion will not take place.

I.B     Narrative of Project has changed several times in several weeks and should not be taken as "written in stone," especially since a formal PUD has not been filed. The only constant has been 1.2 million square feet of usage.

The project may offer financial success to the developer, turning a so called 10 acre "brownfield" site to commercial use. An alternative currently being investigated by the Cambridge City Council, is to take the land by eminent domain to create a river front recreational park. That proposal would really link the Kendall Square area to the river front and be consistent with the Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC) "MetroPlan 2000, The Regional Plan for Metropolitan Boston." Specifically the reuse of vacant industrial land and the creation of river front park areas.

As to the economic vitality of the Kendall Square / East Cambridge area, it is the opinion of the ECPT that this project is not vital to the further vitality of the community, but creating and preserving open space is now of paramount concern.

I.E     Open Space / Recreational is indicated as a long term positive impact. We cannot understand how placing a 1.2 million square feet project on ten acres of current undeveloped, open space is positive.

Air Pollution is indicated as a temporary impact. We cannot understand how an additional 6,000 vehicle trips will not affect air quality. Especially since many intersections in the area are rated F20+. For example, cars on Land Blvd. are stacked from Msg. O'Brien Highway to Memorial Drive (see attachment 2).

**ATTACHMENT 1**  
**East Cambridge Planning Team**  
**Response to**  
**Cambridge Research Park ENF**  
*(continued)*

<u>Section</u>	<u>Comment</u>
I.E	<u>Wind/Shadow</u> is a correctly stated as and adverse impact. The impact will intensify when the property on the opposing side of Third Street is developed.
I.E	<u>Positive impact on Aesthetics.</u> Members of the neighborhood do not believe the impacts will be especially positive. The view of Boston from East Cambridge will totally be blocked. The 230' hotel will be visible to the abutting residential C-1 neighborhood and is out of character with that section of the neighborhood. Any building that requires FAA approval is a concern to the neighborhood.  <u>Housing.</u> East Cambridge has over 700 units of housing currently under construction. No additional housing is seen critical at this time.

---

*Attachment 2 - Permits and approvals*

I.F	<u>EOEA filed before.</u> "No" is the correct answer, but it notable that a PUD application was submitted by COM/Energy Research Park Realty on October 24, 1990. It was eventually withdrawn due to neighborhood opposition and the recession that followed the Gulf War.
II.A	<u>Maps.</u> The contextual map does not show abutting C-1 residential neighborhood to the North. It is conveniently represented as a white space and the site is shown in the context of Kendal Square, not East Cambridge.
II.B.1	<u>Directly Effected Acres.</u> The ENF claims that the project will effect 9.7 acres of developed land. In section IV. (attachment 7) the lot is described as vacant industrial land. This is inconsistent to say the least. The City of Cambridge, Community Development Department does lists the site on its open space map as undeveloped. The neighborhood does not consider a paved parking lot as developed land. Even with the cars, residents consider the site as open space although it has not been legally designated as protected Open Space.
II.B.6	<u>Tidelands.</u> As much as two acres of the site maybe filled tidelands.
II.C	<u>Estimated vehicle trips.</u> As of this writing the, ECPT understands that a traffic study is underway and the vehicle trips on the are estimated. Of grave concern is increasing by 30% of traffic on Third Street. Currently, cars on Third Street are stacked from Msg. O'Brien Hwy. to Binney Street at peak periods. Worthing Place, a 200 unit apartment complex on Binney at Third, will be renting this fall and will also add to Third Street traffic. What is missing from the traffic study is the affect on Land Blvd. At 8:00 it can take 15-20 minutes to travel from the Cambridge-side Galleria to the Gilmore Bridge (approximately a 2 block distance, see attachment 2).

**ATTACHMENT 1**  
**East Cambridge Planning Team**  
**Response to**  
**Cambridge Research Park ENF**  
*(continued)*

<u>Section</u>	<u>Comment</u>
II.D	<u>Traffic Plan.</u> The City of Cambridge requires a permit for curb cuts that is voted on by the City Council. Also, a proposal currently under consideration by the City Council would require all projects over 40,000 square feet to have a traffic plan and show no adverse impact on traffic.
III.A.1	See III.D comment
III.A.2	The site is not within 500 feet of a recreation area, but is within 800 feet from the MDC bike path along the Charles River.
III.B-C	The neighborhood does not have the expertise to respond to this section.
III.D	<u>Open Space/Recreation.</u> As far as the neighborhood is concerned this parking lot is open space and is designated on the Cambridge CCD map as undeveloped. The site is virtual open space now. Only a small gas pumping station exists on the site. Again adding 1.2 million square feet to undeveloped land is equivalent to filling open space. It will block the Boston skyline from East Cambridge and change the feel of the area from open to congested and cramped.
III.D.2	<u>Introduction of Contaminates.</u> The ECPT has not had an environmental engineer survey the site. But test drilling performed by Guild Drilling Co., in September of 1987 (see attachment #3, drilling records), indicate oil soaked fine to medium sand between 8' and 17' and gray clay at 17'-18'. Black cinders and infill were also found at 7'6" at hole B-102, B-103 of the test drillings. To a layman this looks like 9' of contaminated soil needs to be removed over the ten acre site with a potential of runoff into the nearby Broad Canal and the streets of East Cambridge.
III.D.3	<u>Dredging.</u> Under original consideration was to excavate Broad Canal to Third St. The ECPT assumes this is no longer part of the plan.
III.D.4	<u>Sanitary Sewage.</u> We are gravely concerned about the capacity of the existing sewer system to handle the estimated 127,800 gallons/day sewerage to be generated. We have consulted informally with a Master Plumber who indicates that the project should connect with 23" drains and not to the existing 8" to 15" drains. We had a similar problem with the Galleria.  The recent rains of June 13th and 14th had a disastrous effect in East Cambridge were sewerage backed into basement of a significant number of homes because the mains could not handle the flow. The President of the United States declared Cambridge and surrounding community disaster areas because of the flooding. We are concerned that a repeat of these types of storms will repeat the flooding and be made worse by the additional 127,800 gallons of sewerage generated by the site.

**ATTACHMENT 1**  
**East Cambridge Planning Team**  
**Response to**  
**Cambridge Research Park ENF**  
*(continued)*

<u>Section</u>	<u>Comment</u>
III.E1-2	(see III.D.2)
III.E.3	<u>Solid Waste and Hazardous Materials.</u> Drillings performed by Guild Drilling Co. between September 22-25, 1987 (see attachment #3, drilling records), show contaminants exist between 7' and 17'. The text in the ENF can be interpreted to read that the contamination is localized to 15'-18' feet. The ECPT believes that all of the contamination must be cleaned before the site is developed. A piece meal approach of using profits from a partial build to clean the whole site does not insure an adequate cleanup and shows a lack of commitment to clean the site.
III.F.5	<u>Sensitive Receptors.</u> The site is approximately 1,200' from 3 parks (Hurley, Taylor, and Front Park) and approximately 1,600' from a school (Kennedy School and attached Ahern Park) The site is also approximately 800' from the MDC bike route along the Charles River.
III.I.1	<u>Aesthetics.</u> From the viewpoint of Kendall Square the project is somewhat in scale, but from the viewpoint of East Cambridge, which is primarily a C-1 residential zone East of First Street, the project is considered to be grossly out of scale and massing. In fact, much of Third Street consists of 1-3 story residential housing and 1 story office structures. The nearest, tallest building is Worthing Place which peaks at 75'. Abutting properties on Third Street are 1 story biotech labs. Going from 1 story to 22 stories is an abrupt and intrusive transition.
IV.	<u>Consistency with Present Planning.</u> On the regional level this parcel has been the subject of rezoning in 1990, but the attempt was successfully fought off by COM/ Energy. A one year interim overlay district was in place until a comprehensive rezoning was accomplished. A joint team of neighbors and business owners were commissioned by the Cambridge City Manager in 1991 to revise the zoning in the area but after two years they were unable to come up with a compromise plan. Then and now the PUD and as of right zoning are thought excessive for the site and will cause a detriment to the abutting area.  On June 22, 1998, City Councilor/State Representative Timothy Toomey submitted a City Council Order to have the City Manager investigate taking the site by eminent domain for use as a recreational park. The order is expected to pass on June 29th. This use would be consistent with many elements of the Metropolitan Area Planning Council (MAPC) "MetroPlan 2000". Specifically, the reuse of industrial land and the creation of waterfront parks. A park on this area would also be consistent with the MDC's planning for the lower basin of the Charles River and its new system of parks it is creating along the river front.

**ATTACHMENT 1**  
**East Cambridge Planning Team**  
**Response to**  
**Cambridge Research Park ENF**  
*(continued)*

Conclusion:

The East Cambridge Area has the Marriott Hotel, Cambridge Inn, The Royal Sonesta Hotel, and the Marriot's Residence Inn and an inn at 169 Mc.Grath Hwy. which are both under construction. Another hotel is not vital to East Cambridge. We have over 700 units of housing under construction and as well as a large office building at Two Canal Park. Again more housing and office buildings are not vital. A totaling over 1.2 million square feet is currently under development in East Cambridge. There is plenty of undeveloped lots on Msg. O'Brien Hwy. and other areas for further development. What is needed is open space and green space. We do not believe a 1.2 million sq.ft. project on a empty ten acre site is the answer. We endorse Councillor Toomey's proposal. It is what this area needs.

**ATTACHMENT 2**  
**East Cambridge Planning Team**  
**Response to**  
**Cambridge Research Park ENF**

*Photographs of Traffic on Land Boulevard*  
*Monday, June 29 at 5:30 pm*





# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R. I.

SHEET \_\_\_\_\_  
 DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 HOLE NO. B-101  
 LINE & STA. \_\_\_\_\_  
 OFFSET \_\_\_\_\_  
 SURF. ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_

PROJECT NAME Haley & Aldrich, Inc. ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
Third St. & Linskey Way LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
 REPORT SENT TO Above PROJ. NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
 SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS	CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	START	Date	Time
<u>9'</u> after <u>Comp</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>	_____	<u>9/22/87</u>	<u>9/22/87</u>	_____
_____ after _____ Hours	Size: D <u>Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	_____	<u>9/22/87</u>	_____	_____
	Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	BIT _____	TOTAL HRS. _____		
	Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>	_____	BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>		
				INSPECTOR _____		
				SOILS ENGR. _____		

LOCATION OF BORING							SAMPLE				
Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist.	Strata Change Elev	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	No	Per	Fe
			From 0-6	To 6-12	To 12-18						
	<u>6"-2'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>4</u>		<u>2"</u> <u>Asphalt</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>24'</u>	<u>18</u>	
			<u>4</u>				<u>10"</u> <u>Redish Brown GRAVEL</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>24'</u>	<u>6</u>	
	<u>2'6"-4'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>18</u>		<u>Brown silty fine to medium SAND in layers, mixed with Gray Sand &amp; Cinders (FILL)</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>30'</u>	<u>-</u>	
			<u>8</u>					<u>4</u>	<u>30'</u>	<u>18</u>	
	<u>5'-7'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>			<u>5</u>	<u>30'</u>	<u>24</u>	
			<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>				<u>6</u>	<u>30'</u>	<u>24</u>	
	<u>7'6"-10'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>8</u>		<u>9'</u>				
			<u>7</u>	<u>9</u>							
	<u>10'-12'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>11</u>		<u>12'6"</u> <u>Greenish Brown silty fine to medium SAND (oil odor)</u>				
			<u>12</u>	<u>15</u>							
	<u>12'6"-15'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>10</u>		<u>14'</u> <u>Dark Gray silty fine to med. SAND</u>				
			<u>15</u>	<u>17</u>			<u>15'</u> <u>Olive Gray Brown CLAY</u>				
							<u>Bottom of Boring 15'</u>				
							<u>Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 FVC) at 14.7'</u>				
							<u>Used:</u>				
							<u>10'-Slotted</u>				
							<u>5'-Solid</u>				
							<u>5-Bags of Ottawa Sand</u>				
							<u>10#-Bentonite Balls</u>				
							<u>1-Small Gate Box</u>				

GROUND SURFACE TO 15' USED AUGER "CASING: THEN S/S to Well

Sample Type D= Dry C=Cored W=Washed UP=Undisturbed Piston TP=Test Pit A=Auger V=Vane Test	Proportions Used trace 0 to 10% little 10 to 20% some 20 to 35% 35 to 50%	140 lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler Cohesionless Density 0-10 Loose 10-30 Med. Dense 30-50 Dense 50+ Very Dense	Cohesive Consistency 0-4 Soft 4-8 M/Stiff 8-15 Stiff 15-30 V-Stiff
--	---	---	--

SUMMARY:  
 Earth Boring 15  
 Rock Coring \_\_\_\_\_  
 Samples 6  
**HOLE NO B-10**



H117  
2 of 9

# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R. I.

SHEET 1 of 1  
DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
HOLE NO B-102  
LINE & STA. \_\_\_\_\_  
OFFSET \_\_\_\_\_  
SURF. ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_

TO Haley & Aldrich, Inc. ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey Way LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
REPORT SENT TO Above PROJ NO \_\_\_\_\_  
SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>9'</u>	after <u>Comp.</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>	_____	START <u>9/22/87</u>	_____
At _____	after _____ Hours	Size <u>D Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	_____	COMPLETE <u>9/22/87</u>	_____
		Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	BIT _____	TOTAL HRS. _____	
		Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>	_____	BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>	
					INSPECTOR _____	
					SOILS ENGR. _____	

## LOCATION OF BORING:

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist	Strata Change Elev	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	SAMPLE		
				From 0-6	6-12	12-18				No	Pen	Re
								2"	Asphalt			
		1'-3'	D	4	7	4			Brown fine to coarse SAND & Gravel, Bricks, Cinders etc. (FILL)	1	24'	18
		3'-5'	D	11	9	5			Some oil. Black CINDERS & Silt	2	24'	18
		5'-7'6"	D	8	9	6		7'6"	Gray & Brown organic silty PEAT	3	30'	18
		7'6"-10'	D	1	1	2			Black fine to medium (oil-soaked) SAND	4	30'	18
		10'-12'6"	D	2	1	3		11'6"	Dark Brown silty fine to medium SAND	5	30'	22
		12'6"-15'	D	3	17	16		13'	Bottom of Boring 15'	6	30'	18
				16	28			15'	Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 PVC) at 14.8'			
									Used:			
									10'-Slotted			
									5'-Solid			
									5-Bags of Ottawa Sand			
									10'-Bentonite Balls			
									1-Small Gate Box			

GROUND SURFACE TO 15' USED AUGER "CASING: THEN S/S to Well

Sample Type  
D: Dry C: Cored W: Washed  
UP: Undisturbed Piston.  
TP: Test Pit A: Auger V: Vane Test  
UT: Undisturbed Thinwall

Proportions Used  
trace 0 to 10%  
little 10 to 20%  
some 20 to 35%  
and 35 to 50%

140 lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler  
Cohesionless Density Cohesive Consistency  
0-10 Loose 0-4 Soft 30 + Hard  
10-30 Med. Dense 4-8 M/Stiff  
30-50 Dense 8-15 Stiff  
50+ Very Dense 15-30 V-Stiff

SUMMARY:  
Earth Boring 15'  
Rock Coring \_\_\_\_\_  
Samples 6  
HOLE NO. B-10



# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

ATT # 3099

100 WATER STREET

EAST PROVIDENCE, R I

SHEET 1 of 1

DATE

MOLE NO. B-103

LINE &amp; STA

OFFSET

SURF. ELEV.

TO Haley & Aldrich, Inc.PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey WayREPORT SENT TO AboveSAMPLES SENT TO Taken At SiteADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.

PROJ NO

OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>9'</u>	after <u>Comp</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>		START <u>9/23/87</u>	
At _____	after _____ Hours	Size <u>D Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>		COMPLETE <u>9/23/87</u>	
		Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	BIT	TOTAL HRS. _____	
		Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>		BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>	
					INSPECTOR _____	
					SOILS ENGR. _____	

## LOCATION OF BORING

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist	Strata Change Elev	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	SAMPLE		
				From 0-6	To 6-12	To 12-18				No	Per	Re
		<u>1'-3'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>7</u>		<u>2"</u>	<u>Asphalt</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>1E</u>
				<u>7</u>				<u>9"</u>	<u>Bricks</u>			
		<u>3'-5'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>			<u>Dark Brown silty fine to medium SAND &amp; Bricks, Cinders &amp; Miscellaneous Fill</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>1E</u>
		<u>5'-7'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>10</u>		<u>7'6"</u>	<u>Oily at 7 ft.</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>2Z</u>
		<u>7'6"-10'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>			<u>Dark Gray Brown organic silty PEAT</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>2Z</u>
		<u>10'-12'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>3</u>		<u>10'</u>	<u>Brown silty fine to medium SAND (oily)</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>2Z</u>
		<u>12'6"-15'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>13</u>		<u>12'2"</u>	<u>Black (oil soaked) fine to medium SAND</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>3C</u>
		<u>15'-17'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>15</u>		<u>15'6"</u>	<u>Gray silty stiff CLAY</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>3C</u>
				<u>23</u>	<u>32</u>			<u>17'6"</u>	<u>Bottom of Boring 17'6"</u>			
									<u>Installed Observation Well at 15' (2" Sch. 40 PVC)</u>			
									<u>10'-Slotted</u>			
									<u>5'-Solid</u>			
									<u>4-Bags of Ottawa Sand</u>			
									<u>10# Bentonite Balls</u>			
									<u>1-Small Gate Box</u>			

GROUND SURFACE TO 15'USED Auger "CASING: THEN S/S to 0. We

### Sample Type

D: Dry C: Cored W: Washed

UP: Undisturbed Piston

TP: Test Pit A: Auger V: Vane Test

UT: Undisturbed Thinwall

### Proportions Used

trace 0 to 10%

little 10 to 20%

some 20 to 35%

and 35 to 50%

140 lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler

Cohesionless Density Cohesive Consistency

0-10 Loose 0-4 Soft 30 + Hard

10-30 Med. Dense 4-8 M/Stiff

30-50 Dense 8-15 Stiff

50+ Very Dense 15-30 V-Stiff

### SUMMARY

Earth Boring 17

Rock Coring \_\_\_\_\_

Samples \_\_\_\_\_

HOLE NO B-10:



ATT # 3  
40F9

# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R. I.

SHEET 1 of       
DATE       
HOLE NO B-104  
LINE & STA.       
OFFSET       
SURF. ELEV.     

TO Haley & Aldrich, Inc. ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey Way LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
REPORT SENT TO Above PROJ NO       
SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>8'6"</u>	after <u>Comp.</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>	<u>    </u>	START <u>9/23/87</u>	<u>    </u>
At <u>    </u>	after <u>    </u> Hours	Size: D <u>Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	<u>    </u>	COMPLETE <u>9/23/87</u>	<u>    </u>
		Hammer Wt <u>    </u>	<u>140#</u>	BIT <u>    </u>	TOTAL HRS. <u>    </u>	
		Hammer Fall <u>    </u>	<u>30"</u>		BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitake:</u>	
					INSPECTOR <u>    </u>	
					SOILS ENGR. <u>    </u>	

## LOCATION OF BORING

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist	Strata Change Elev	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	SAMPLE	
				From 0-6	6-12	12-18				Nc	Per
								2"	Asphalt		
		1'-3'	D	2	3	3			Dark Brown silty fine to coarse SAND (FILL)	1	24"
		3'-5'	D	2	2	2				2	24"
		5'-7'6"	D	3	3	4		7'10"		3	30"
		7'6"-10'	D	1	2	1		8'6"	Black & Gray (oil soaked) SILT	4	30"
		10'-12'6"	D	1	-	-		10'6"	Dark Brown silty PEAT	5	30"
		12'6"-15'	D	11	13	18		14'	Dark Brown fine to medium SAND, trace of silt (oil-odor noted)	6	30"
		15'-17'6"	D	8	15	6		16'6"	Black (oil soaked) fine to medium SAND	7	30"
				8	18			17'6"	Gray CLAY		
									Bottom of Boring 17'6"		
									Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 PVC) at 15'		
									10'-Slotted		
									5'-Solid		
									5-Bags of Ottawa Sand		
									10#-Bentonite Balls		
									1-Small Gate Box		

GROUND SURFACE TO 15' USED Auger "CASING: THEN Sampled & O. Well

Sample Type  
D: Dry C: Cored W: Washed  
UP: Undisturbed Piston  
TP: Test Pit A: Auger V: Vane Test  
UT: Undisturbed Thinwall

Proportions Used  
trace 0 to 10%  
little 10 to 20%  
some 20 to 35%  
and 35 to 50%

140 lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler  
Cohesionless Density  
0-10 Loose  
10-30 Med. Dense  
30-50 Dense  
50+ Very Dense

Cohesive Consistency  
0-4 Soft 30+ Hard  
4-8 M/Stiff  
8-15 Stiff  
15-30 V-Stiff

SUMMARY  
Earth Boring       
Rock Coring       
Samples       
HOLE NO B-1



# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R I

TO Haley & Aldrich, Inc. ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
 PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey Way LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
 REPORT SENT TO Above PROJ. NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
 SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site OUR JOB NO. 88-285

SHEET 1 of 1  
 DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
 HOLE NO B-105  
 LINE & STA. \_\_\_\_\_  
 OFFSET \_\_\_\_\_  
 SURF. ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>8'6"</u>	after <u>Comp.</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>	_____	START <u>9/23/87</u>	_____
At _____	after _____ Hours	Size: D <u>Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	_____	COMPLETE <u>9/23/87</u>	_____
		Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	BIT	TOTAL HRS. _____	
		Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>		BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>	
					INSPECTOR _____	
					SOILS ENGR. _____	

## LOCATION OF BORING:

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist	Strata Change Elev	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	SAMPLE		
				From 0-6	6-12	12-18				No	Per	Re
								2"	Asphalt,			
		2'6"-5'	D	1	3	3			Dark Brown silty fine to coarse SAND & miscellaneous (FILL) Bricks, etc.	1	30'	8"
				3	4							
		7'6"-10'	D	1	1	1		8'	Dark Brown silty organic	2	30'	18"
				2	3			10'6"	PEAT			
		10'-12'6"	D	1	1	1			Brown silty fine to medium SAND (oil odor noted)	3	30'	24"
				1	10			13'6"				
									Bottom of Boring 13'6"			
									Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 PVC) at 13'6"			
									2'6"-Slotted			
									10' -Solid			
									1 -Bag of Ottawa Sand			
									20#-Bentonite Balls			
									1-Small Gate Box			

GROUND SURFACE TO 13'6" USED AUGER "CASING: THEN Installed O. Well

Sample Type  
 D: Dry C: Cored W: Washed  
 UP: Undisturbed Piston  
 TP: Test Pit A: Auger V: Vane Test  
 UT: Undisturbed Thinwall

Proportions Used  
 trace 0 to 10%  
 little 10 to 20%  
 some 20 to 35%  
 and 35 to 50%

140 lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler  
 Cohesionless Density  
 0-10 Loose  
 10-30 Med. Dense  
 30-50 Dense  
 50+ Very Dense

Cohesive Consistency  
 0-4 Soft 30+ Hard  
 4-8 M/Stiff  
 8-15 Stiff  
 15-30 V-Stiff

SUMMARY:  
 Earth Boring 13'  
 Rock Coring \_\_\_\_\_  
 Samples 3  
**HOLE NO B-10**



ATT#3  
60F9

# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R I

SHEET 1 of 1  
DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
HOLE NO B-106  
LINE & STA. \_\_\_\_\_  
OFFSET \_\_\_\_\_  
SURF. ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_

TO Haley & Aldrich, Inc. ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey Way LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
REPORT SENT TO Above PROJ. NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>8'6"</u>	after <u>Comp.</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>	_____	START <u>9/24/87</u>	_____
At _____	after _____ Hours	Size: O. <u>Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	_____	COMPLETE <u>9/24/87</u>	_____
		Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	_____	TOTAL HRS. _____	
		Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>	_____	BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>	
				_____	INSPECTOR _____	
				_____	SOILS ENGR. _____	

## LOCATION OF BORING:

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist	Strata Change Elev	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	SAMPLE		
				From 0-6	6-12	12-18				No	Per	Fe
		<u>1'-3'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>6</u>		<u>2"</u>	<u>Asphalt</u>			
				<u>22</u>					<u>Dark Brown silty fine to coarse SAND &amp; miscellaneous (Fill) Bricks, Cinders etc.</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>24'</u>	<u>12"</u>
		<u>3'-5'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>6</u>				<u>2</u>	<u>24'</u>	<u>6"</u>
				<u>7</u>								
		<u>5'-7'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>46</u>				<u>3</u>	<u>24'</u>	<u>6"</u>
				<u>87</u>								
		<u>8'-10'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>45</u>			<u>Black (oil soaked) BOULDER (Fill)</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>24'</u>	<u>6"</u>
				<u>61</u>								
		<u>10'-11'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>91</u>	<u>117</u>			<u>11'6"</u>		<u>5</u>	<u>12'</u>	<u>6"</u>
		<u>12'-14'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>27</u>		<u>13'</u>	<u>fine SAND with OIL</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>30'</u>	<u>3"</u>
				<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>			<u>14'6"</u>	<u>Gray CLAY</u>			
									<u>Bottom of Boring 15'6"</u>			
									<u>Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 PVC) at 14'6"</u>			
									<u>10'-Slotted</u>			
									<u>4'6"-Solid</u>			
									<u>5-Bags of Ottawa Sand</u>			
									<u>10'-Bentonite Balls</u>			
									<u>1-Small Road Box</u>			

GROUND SURFACE TO 15' USED Auger CASING: THEN S/S to 0. Well

Sample Type  
D=Dry C=Cored W=Washed  
UP=Undisturbed Piston  
TP=Test Pit A=Auger V=Vane Test  
UT=Undisturbed Thinwall

Proportions Used  
trace 0 to 10%  
little 10 to 20%  
some 20 to 35%  
and 35 to 50%

140lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler  
Cohesionless Density Cohesive Consistency  
0-10 Loose 0-4 Soft 30+ Hard  
10-30 Med. Dense 4-8 M/Stiff  
30-50 Dense 8-15 Stiff  
50+ Very Dense 15-30 V. Stiff

SUMMARY  
Earth Boring 15  
Rock Coring \_\_\_\_\_  
Samples 6  
HOLE NO B-106



ATT # 3  
7 of 9

# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R I

SHEET 1 of 1  
DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
HOLE NO. B-107  
LINE & STA. \_\_\_\_\_  
OFFSET \_\_\_\_\_  
SURF. ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_

TO Raley & Aldrich, Inc. ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey Way LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
REPORT SENT TO Above PROJ. NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>8'</u>	after <u>Comp.</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>	_____	START <u>9/24/87</u>	_____
At _____	after _____ Hours	Size: D <u>Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	_____	COMPLETE <u>9/24/87</u>	_____
		Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	BIT _____	TOTAL HRS. _____	
		Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>	_____	BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>	
					INSPECTOR _____	
					SOILS ENGR. _____	

## LOCATION OF BORING

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist	Strata Change Elev	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	SAMPLE		
				From 0-6	To 6-12	To 12-18				No	Per	Pe
								2"	Asphalt			
		1'-3'	D	2	4	5			Dark Brown silty fine to coarse SAND & (Fill) Bricks Cinders, etc.	1	24'	6
		3'-5'	D	4	18	10				2	24'	6
				17								
		5'-5'7"	D	40	100				Dark Brown SAND & Gravel, Boulder, (Fill)	3	7"	7
		6'6"-7'3"	D	50	100					4	9"	6
		8'-10'	D	6	10	42			(oil soaked after 8')	5	24'	12
				85								
		10'-10'6"	D	150					Refusal	6	6"	6
									Bottom of Boring 10'			
									Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 PVC) at 10'			
									5'-Slotted			
									5'-Solid			
									4-Bags of Ottawa Sand			
									10#-Bentonite Balls			
									1-Small Road Box			

GROUND SURFACE TO 10'6" USED Auger "CASING: THEN Refusal then installed Well

Sample Type	Proportions Used	140lb Wt. & 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler	SUMMARY
D: Dry C: Cored W: Washed	trace 0 to 10%	Cohesionless Density	Earth Boring <u>10</u>
UP: Undisturbed Piston	little 10 to 20%	0-10 Loose	Rock Coring _____
TP: Test Pit A: Auger V: Vane Test	some 20 to 35%	10-30 Med. Dense	Samples <u>6</u>
UT: Undisturbed Thinwall	and 35 to 50%	30-50 Dense	
		50+ Very Dense	
		Cohesive Consistency	
		0-4 Soft 30+ Hard	
		4-8 M/Stiff	
		8-15 Stiff	
		15-30 V-Stiff	

HOLE NO. B-107



ATT# 3  
2 of 9

# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R I

SHEET 1 OF 1  
DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
HOLE NO B-108  
LINE & STA. \_\_\_\_\_  
OFFSET \_\_\_\_\_  
SURF. ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_

TO Haley & Aldrich, Inc. ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey Way LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
REPORT SENT TO Above PROJ NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>4'10"</u>	after <u>Comp</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	S/S	_____	START <u>9/25/87</u>	_____
At _____	after _____ Hours	Size: D <u>Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	_____	COMPLETE <u>9/25/87</u>	_____
		Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	_____	TOTAL HRS. _____	_____
		Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>	_____	BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>	_____
				BIT _____	INSPECTOR _____	_____
					SOILS ENGR. _____	_____

## LOCATION OF BORING

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist.	Strata Change Elev.	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc	SAMPLE		
				From 0-6	6-12	To 12-18				No	Pen	Re
		<u>6"-2'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>37</u>	<u>41</u>			<u>2" Asphalt - Brown fine to medium SAND &amp; Bricks etc. (FILL)</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>24"</u>	<u>1"</u>
		<u>3'-3'7"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>50</u>			<u>5'</u>		<u>2</u>	<u>7"</u>	<u>1"</u>
		<u>5'-7'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>65</u>	<u>54</u>	<u>35</u>			<u>Black oily silty SAND and Bricks, etc. (oil odor noted)</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>24"</u>	<u>1"</u>
		<u>7'6"-7'8"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>50</u>				<u>8'</u>		<u>4</u>	<u>2"</u>	<u>2"</u>
									<u>Bottom of Boring 8' (Refusal)</u>			
									<u>Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 FVC) at 8'</u>			
									<u>5'-Slotted</u>			
									<u>3'-Solid</u>			
									<u>3-Bags of Ottawa Sand</u>			
									<u>10#-Bentonite Balls</u>			
									<u>1-Small Gate Box</u>			

GROUND SURFACE TO 8' USED AUGER "CASING: THEN 0 Well

Sample Type  
D=Dry C=Cored W=Washed  
UP=Undisturbed Piston  
TP=Test Pit A=Auger V=Vane Test  
UT=Undisturbed Thinwall

Proportions Used  
trace 0 to 10%  
little 10 to 20%  
some 20 to 35%  
and 35 to 50%

140lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler  
Cohesionless Density Cohesive Consistency  
0-10 Loose 0-4 Soft 30+ Hard  
10-30 Med. Dense 4-8 M/Stiff  
30-50 Dense 8-15 Stiff  
50+ Very Dense 15-30 V-Stiff

SUMMARY:  
Earth Boring 8'  
Rock Coring \_\_\_\_\_  
Samples 3  
HOLE NO B-108



ATT# 3  
949

# GUILD DRILLING CO., INC.

100 WATER STREET EAST PROVIDENCE, R. I.

Exhibit B

SHEET 1 of 1  
DATE \_\_\_\_\_  
HOLE NO. B-109  
LINE & STA. \_\_\_\_\_  
OFFSET \_\_\_\_\_  
SURF. ELEV. \_\_\_\_\_

TO Haley & Aldrich, Inc.  
PROJECT NAME Third St. & Linskey Way  
REPORT SENT TO Above  
SAMPLES SENT TO Taken At Site  
ADDRESS Cambridge, Mass.  
LOCATION Cambridge, Mass.  
PROJ. NO. \_\_\_\_\_  
OUR JOB NO. 88-285

GROUND WATER OBSERVATIONS		CASING	SAMPLER	CORE BAR	Date	Time
At <u>7'</u>	after <u>Comp.</u> Hours	Type <u>Hollow-Stem</u>	<u>S/S</u>	_____	START <u>9/25/87</u>	_____
At _____	after _____ Hours	Size I.D. <u>Auger</u>	<u>1-3/8"</u>	_____	COMPLETE <u>9/25/87</u>	_____
		Hammer Wt _____	<u>140#</u>	_____	TOTAL HRS. _____	_____
		Hammer Fall _____	<u>30"</u>	_____	BORING FOREMAN <u>A. Whitaker</u>	_____
				BIT	INSPECTOR _____	_____
					SOILS ENGR. _____	_____

## LOCATION OF BORING:

DEPTH	Casing Blows per foot	Sample Depths From - To	Type of Sample	Blows per 6" on Sampler			Moisture Density or Consist.	Strata Change Elev.	SOIL IDENTIFICATION Remarks include color, gradation, Type of soil etc. Rock-color, type, condition, hardness, Drilling time, seams and etc.	SAMPLE		
				From 0-6	To 6-12	To 12-18				No	Per	Fe
		<u>1'-2'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>47</u>		<u>2'6"</u>	<u>2" Asphalt - Dark Brown silty fine to medium SAND</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>18"</u>	<u>6</u>
		<u>5'-7'4"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>2</u>		<u>4'6"</u>	<u>Cement SLAB</u>			
				<u>2</u>	<u>50/4"</u>			<u>8'</u>	<u>Black wet SAND &amp; Gravel, (Fill)</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>28"</u>	<u>18</u>
		<u>10'-12'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>32</u>		<u>9'</u>	<u>Slab</u>			
				<u>27</u>	<u>25</u>			<u>12'</u>	<u>Brown fine to medium SAND, (oil odor noted)</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>30"</u>	<u>24</u>
		<u>13'-15'</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>32</u>		<u>15'6"</u>	<u>Black (oil soaked) fine to medium SAND</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>24"</u>	<u>24</u>
				<u>59</u>				<u>17'6"</u>	<u>Dark Gray Brown SILT &amp; Clay</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>30"</u>	<u>30</u>
		<u>15'-17'6"</u>	<u>D</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>4</u>			<u>Bottom of Boring 17'6"</u>			
				<u>7</u>	<u>22</u>				<u>Installed Observation Well (2" Sch. 40 PVC) at 15'</u>			
									<u>10'-Slotted</u>			
									<u>5'-Solid</u>			
									<u>5-Bags of Ottawa Sand</u>			
									<u>10# -Bentonite Balls</u>			
									<u>1-Small Gate Box</u>			

GROUND SURFACE TO 15'

USED Auger "CASING: THEN S/S to O. Well

Sample Type  
D=Dry C=Cored W=Washed  
UP=Undisturbed Piston  
TP=Test Pit A=Auger V=Vane Test  
UT=Undisturbed Thinwall

Proportions Used  
trace 0 to 10%  
little 10 to 20%  
some 20 to 35%  
and 35 to 50%

140 lb Wt. x 30" fall on 2" O.D. Sampler  
Cohesionless Density  
0-10 Loose  
10-30 Med. Dense  
30-50 Dense  
50+ Very Dense  
Cohesive Consistency  
0-4 Soft 30+ Hard  
4-8 M/Stiff  
8-15 Stiff  
15-30 V-Stiff

SUMMARY:  
Earth Boring 17  
Rock Coring \_\_\_\_\_  
Samples 5  
HOLE NO. \_\_\_\_\_



# EAST CAMBRIDGE PLANNING TEAM

*A Neighborhood Organization for the Betterment of East Cambridge*

June 30, 1998

Secretary Trudy Cox  
Executive Office of Environmental Affairs  
MEPA Unit  
100 Cambridge Street, 20th Floor  
Boston, MA 02202

## *ECPT OFFICERS*

**CHARLES T. HINDS, JR.**  
*President*

**ROBERT C. BROWN**  
*Vice President*

**PHILIP HIGONNET**  
*Treasurer*

**KATHLEEN KRIER**  
*Secretary*

**MARY ANN DONOFRIO**  
**CHARLES POIRIER**  
**DOROTHY VETRANO**  
*Executive Board*

## *ECPT SUBCOMMITTEES*

***Traffic and Parking***  
Mary Ann Donofrio  
Charles Poirier  
Dorothy Vetrano  
Charles T. Hinds, Jr

***Com/Energy Development Site***  
Steve Bikofsky  
Roland Brito  
B. Broussard  
Mary Ann Donofrio  
Fred Cabral  
Joseph Grassi  
Charles T. Hinds, Jr  
Phillip Higonnet  
Jenny Iantosca  
Helen Kohane  
Charles Poirier  
Dorothy Vetrano

## **RE: Cambridge Research Park, EOE #11657**

Dear MEPA Representative:

The East Cambridge Planning Team (ECPT) is making its final official response to the Cambridge Research Park Notification Form. For the past 30 years the ECPT has reviewed, provided input to the City of Cambridge, and supported projects in East Cambridge such as the CambridgeSide Galleria, the Museum Towers, The Esplanade, Cambridge Inn, Two Canal Park, Marriott Residence Inn, Biogen, and Graves Landing. We believe all of these projects have added positively to the character and prosperity of East Cambridge. However, the East Cambridge neighborhood has many concerns about the proposed Cambridge Research Park to be constructed on COM/Energy Site:

We were not aware of the filing until June 18th, so we have not had time for professionals to formally review the ENF. Our response was taken from members of the East Cambridge Planning Team at our June 25, 1998, meeting. Most of the members present at that meeting were also present at the May 15, 1998, meeting where Lyme Properties presented a 3-model of the proposed project.


Our major concerns about Cambridge Research Park include:

- Density and height of the project
- Traffic impacts on East Cambridge, especially Land Blvd., and Third St.
- Reduction of open space in East Cambridge
- Aggressive speed at which the project is to be built
- Removal of contaminated soil from the site
- Capacity of infrastructure, especially sewer and Fire Dept., to handle peak loads
- Aesthetics of the current conceptual models
- Other uses of the land may be more beneficial to East Cambridge
- Many items in the report seem overly optimistic and/or contradictory

Attachment 1 is a detailed commentary on the ENF form by section. We hope that MEPA gives our concerns the attention they need. If you have any questions concerning this matter, please feel free to call me at 492-6239.

Regards,

Charles T. Hinds  
President, East Cambridge Planning Team



CC: City of Cambridge Planning Board, Cambridge City Council, Cambridge City Manager, City of Cambridge Conservation Commission, MDC, MAPC

Consent Communication #27 5195

A communication was received from  
Charles T. Hinds, President,  
East Cambridge Planning Team,  
transmitting a letter regarding  
the Cambridge Research Park, EOE  
#11657.

In City Council July 27, 1998

**PLACED ON FILE**