

City of Cambridge

MASSACHUSETTS

In City Council October 17, 1994

Charter Right #3 - C. Toomey

	YEA	NAY	ABSENT	PRESENT
Ms. Kathleen L. Born		✓ (2)	✓ (1)	
Mr. Francis H. Duehay		✓		
Mr. Jonathan S. Myers		✓		
Mrs. Sheila T. Russell	✓			
Mr. Michael A. Sullivan	✓			
Mr. Timothy J. Toomey, Jr.		✓		
Ms. Katherine Triantafillou		✓		
Mr. William H. Walsh	✓			
Mayor Kenneth E. Reeves	✓ (1)	✓ (2)		

3

6

0

0

ORDERED: That the City Manager be and hereby requested to ask the Assessor's Office and the City Solicitor's Office where applicable to advise the City Council of: (a) projected increase in revenue, if any, by passage of Question 9; (b) any legal complications because of the 2 1/2 cap and if there are complications, does a home rule have to be filed; (c) if additional revenues are raised can they be used for a City sponsored subsidy program for low and moderate income tenants presently living in rent controlled units and further to report to the City Council no later than October 17, 1994.

In City Council October 17, 1994.


Failed of adoption by a yea and nay vote:

Yeas 3; Nays 6; Absent 0.

Attest:- D. Margaret Drury, City Clerk.

A true copy;

ATTEST:-



D. Margaret Drury,
City Clerk



City of Cambridge

15.

IN CITY COUNCIL

October 3, 1994

COUNCILLOR WALSH
 VICE MAYOR RUSSELL
 COUNCILLOR SULLIVAN

WHEREAS: If Referendum Question 9 passes on November 8, 1994, the Chair of the Assessing Department has stated at the September 19th meeting of the City Council, that over a period of time additional revenue will be generated by the increase in assessed values; and

WHEREAS: The total project financial impact to the taxpayers is unknown at this time; and

WHEREAS: It is important to the City Council to know the approximate effect in dollars and cents that the passage of Question 9 will have on the City tax base; and

WHEREAS: It is further important to the City Council to know the 2 1/2 cap plays into this situation and whether or not home rule legislation will be needed in order to increase revenues received because of this unusual situation; and

WHEREAS: It is important for the City Council to know that if additional revenues are raised as a result of the passage of Question 9, can said revenue be earmarked to subsidize rents of low and moderate tenants presently subject to rent control; now therefore be it

ORDERED: That the City Manager be and hereby requested to ask the Assessor's Office and the City Solicitor's Office where applicable to advise the City Council of: (a) projected increase in revenue, if any, by passage of Question 9; (b) any legal complications because of the 2 1/2 cap and if there are complications, does a home rule have to be filed; (c) if additional revenues are raised can they be used for a City sponsored subsidy program for low and moderate income tenants presently living in rent controlled units and further to report to the City Council no later than October 17, 1994.

CHARTER RIGHT EXERCISED BY COUNCILLOR DUEHAY



City of Cambridge

15.

IN CITY COUNCIL

October 3, 1994

COUNCILLOR WALSH

WHEREAS: If Referendum Question 9 passes on November 8, 1994, the Chair of the Assessing Department has stated at the September 19th meeting of the City Council, that over a period of time additional revenue will be generated by the increase in assessed values; and

WHEREAS: The total project financial impact to the taxpayers is unknown at this time; and

WHEREAS: It is important to the City Council to know the approximate effect in dollars and cents that the passage of Question 9 will have on the City tax base; and

WHEREAS: It is further important to the City Council to know the 2 1/2 cap plays into this situation and whether or not home rule legislation will be needed in order to increase revenues received because of this unusual situation; and

WHEREAS: It is important for the City Council to know that if additional revenues are raised as a result of the passage of Question 9, can said revenue be earmarked to subsidize rents of low and moderate tenants presently subject to rent control; now therefore be it

ORDERED: That the City Manager be and hereby requested to ask the Assessor's Office and the City Solicitor's Office where applicable to advise the City Council of: (a) projected increase in revenue, if any, by passage of Question 9; (b) any legal complications because of the 2 1/2 cap and if there are complications, does a home rule have to be filed; (c) if additional revenues are raised can they be used for a City sponsored subsidy program for low and moderate income tenants presently living in rent controlled units and further to report to the City Council no later than October 17, 1994.

Russell, Sullivan,

cm 334

Consent Order #15

Councillor Walsh re: If referendum Question
9 passes.

In City Council October 3, 1994

Charter right exercised
by C. Duhaney

10/17/94 Order passed
of adaption

3-6-0