

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10

(stealing
wrist watch) ← (6 arrested)

f: 742-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrphing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10

(stealing
what watch) (6 arrested)

6 742-1326

not a formal
group yet.

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson for concerned Cambridge residents and parents

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrphing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10
(stealing
wrist watch) ← (6 arrested)

742-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrphing to be completed before seeing their children....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10
(stealing
wrist watch) ← (6 arrested)

WP: 742-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson for concerned Cambridge residents and parents

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photoghrping to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Saundra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10
(stealing
wrist watch) ← (6 arrested)

6742-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrphing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

f: 742-1326

Dec. 10
(stealing
wrist watch) ← (6 arrested)

not a formal
group yet.

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alleged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alleged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photographing to be completed before seeing their children....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10

(stealing
wrist watch) (6 arrested)

6: 742-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrphing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10
(stealing
wrist watch) ← (6 arrested)

12-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrphing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Wednesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10

(stealing
wrist watch) (6 arrested)

6: 742-1326

not a formal
group yet.

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrpthing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10

(stealing
wrist watch) (6 arrested)

6 742-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrpthing to be completed before seeing their children....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

home 364-7008

Tuesdays
547-9433

Dec. 10

(stealing
wrist watch) (6 arrested)

6. 142-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photographing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10

(stealing must watch) (6 arrested)

not a formal group yet.

nb. 792-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all kids arrested were black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrpthing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

- (a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.
- (b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Home: 864-7008

Tuesdays -
547-9433

Dec. 10
(stealing
wrist watch) ← (6 arrested)

6: 742-1326

TO: Cambridge Chronicle
David Wiegand, Editor
Anthony Baldo, "Crime Beat"

not a formal
group yet.

FROM: Doris Casella, spokesperson (for concerned Cambridge residents and parents)

RE: Entry in "Crime Beat" of January 5, 1984

representing a group of
neighborhood parents.

Gentlemen:

On Thursday, January 5, 1984, your newspaper listed an incident that occurred on January 1st at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off his bike. When the white male chased the boy, he then claims that several black youths appeared and beat him. Two of the youths who were arrested at just turned 17, and as such were treated as adults, with their names and addresses appearing in your newspaper as suspects in this incident.

all
kids
arrested
were
black.

Unfortunately, for many people reading this article, the implication to them is that the boys are guilty, and as a result, these children have been the subject of negative comments and general embarrassment in their neighborhoods and at their school (CRLS).

As concerned parents and residents of Cambridge, we wish to voice our protest of the newspaper listing, but more importantly, our protest of the conduct of the Cambridge police in this and other incidences.

This case is far more involved than your Crime Beat listing would lead one to believe. The police, in response to the Harvard Street incident, conducted a "sweep" of the area, picking up black youths in three separate round-ups. Presumably the alledged victim picked out these boys as his attackers, but in at least one case, the boy I spoke to said his head was down on the police car and he could not have been identified except from behind. In addition, the alledged victim was not in an emotional state that would have made such eye witness identification accurate.

The children were handcuffed, put in police cars, taken to the police station, fingerprinted, mugged and put in cells. Most of them were read their rights, but were not allowed phone calls. It was only because a youth, seeing one of the round-ups, called a parent of one of the boys, and she in turn called other parents, that any of the parents arrived at the police station. When they did arrive, they were read a list of very serious charges against their sons and then had to wait for fingerprinting and photogrphing to be completed before seeing their children.....some parents waiting for well over an hour. They found the children frightened, upset, and two of the youngest boys (12 and 13 years old) close to hysteria.

Upon further questioning, the parents found that the boys had not been questioned as to where they were going or where they had come from; there was not juvenile officer there to aid them; they had all been treated as if they were guilty.

Sandra Graham, hearing of the incident arranged a meeting with Chief of Police Anthony Paolillo and the parents on Friday, January 6, 1984. Present at this meeting was Det. Spencer Franklin who made a special report to the parents concerning the Harvard Street incident. Det. Franklin stated that the victim had given conflicting stories, and at the time of "fingering" the boys, was highly emotional and "very angry." There was also a very good possibility that the

alleged victim would have had difficulty differentiating between black people.

Also present at this meeting was a parent whose child had been involved in an incident on December 10, 1983, where a victim identified two black youths of stealing her watch, but the police picked up four additional black youths, and even though the victim clearly stated that the four additional youths were not implicated in her attack, they booked these children anyway, and their case is now pending.

Chief of Police Paolillo informed us that although the new recruits were receiving sensitivity training to help them deal with the ethnic communities of Cambridge, there were indeed several older officers who had not received the possible benefit of such training and were of some concern to the Chief. He also quoted the statistic that the majority of crimes committed in the Central Square area were committed by whites and not blacks. He did not deny, however, that if we were to examine the arrest records that most of those arrested in that area would be black.

The parents then made a formal complaint to the Police Chief stating that teenage black children are constantly harrassed in Harvard and Central Squares. Both the children of the parents present, and their friends at school have attested to the fact that while simply walking in these areas, policement routinely stop them and question them. The parents demanded that this practice be stopped and that their children feel free to walk the streets of their own communities.

Some of the suggestions made and accepted by the Chief of Police were:

(a) a Committee be formed of parents, community people, and police officials to investigate and revise the procedures and policies for juveniles in Cambridge to prevent our children from becoming victims.

(b) the City Council will be petitioned for funds to enlarge the juvenile unit, and for youth workers.

The meeting ended with the parents deciding to meet on Sunday, January 8th to further clarify their demands, and to work on the formation of a committee.

#####

Comm. from Doris Casella Re: an incident which occurred on January 1, 1984 at Harvard and Dana Streets involving a white male who accused a black youth of knocking him off of his bicycle & arrests subsequent to this incident.

1/30/1984
 AFTER HEARING
 THREE ORDERS
 ADOPTED - see
 COUNCIL ORDERS
 OF 1/30/1984

In City Council,

January 9, 1984

1/9/84
 - HEARING HELD
 ORDER TO REPORT
 IN 2 WEEKS WITH
 FINAL REPORT DUE
 IN 4 WEEKS -