

Cambridge Research Park, LLC

101 Main Street, 18th Floor

Cambridge, MA 02142

Tel: 617-225-0909

Fax: 617-225-2133

1999 MAR 19 A 8:49

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

March 17, 1999

Ms. Margaret Drury
City Clerk, City of Cambridge
City Hall
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dear Ms. Drury:

We own property at 364 Third Street (397,530SF, Block 14, Lot 38) and 364R Third St. (27,000SF of Block 14, Lot 40) in the City of Cambridge. As a Cambridge land owner, we oppose the amendment to the PUD 3 Development controls to increase the requirement for open space and provide for publicly beneficial open space and the modifications to the existing 0-3A base zoning including a reduction in FAR and prohibition of below grade parking.

As land owners who would be affected by this petition, we do hereby respectfully file a written protest pursuant to Chapter 40A, Section 5 of the Massachusetts General Laws. Massachusetts General Law Chapter 40A, Section 5, states generally that no zoning ordinance can be adopted except by a two-thirds vote of the City Council. For Cambridge, this would mean six (6) councilors. However, the statute further provides that if a written protest is filed prior to final approval of a change to the ordinance, stating the reasons for the protest, signed by the owners of twenty percent (20%) or more of the land proposed to be included in such change, or of the area of the land immediately adjacent extending three hundred feet (300') therefrom, then a three-fourths vote (7 councilors) would be necessary for the ordinance to be enacted.

As land owners of real estate which will be affected by the proposed changes, we now so file such a protest. We oppose the petition and feel our land holdings would be adversely impacted.

Please place this written protest into the official records of the City.


Sincerely,

CAMBRIDGE RESEARCH PARK, LLC

By: The Lyme Timber Company, Manager

By: Woodland Management Associates, LLC, General Partner

By:



David Roby, Manager

DMR:fp

Consent Communication #2

A communication was received from David Roby, Manager, Cambridge Research Park, LLC, transmitting written opposition to the proposed petition to amend the text of the Zoning Ordinance Article 11.500 IPOP, in Section 11.502, as land owner of real estate at 364 Third Street and 364R Third Street.

Pub-3

In City Council March 29, 1999

Referred to

petition



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

(617) 349-4260

FAX (617) 349-4307

TTY/TDD (617) 492-0235

D. MARGARET DRURY
CITY CLERK

DONNA P. LOPEZ
DEPUTY CITY CLERK

**TO: SALLY POWERS, DIRECTOR
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE**

FROM: D. MARGARET DRURY, CITY CLERK

DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 1999

**RE: WRITTEN PROTEST REGARDING THE AMENDMENT IN EAST
CAMBRIDGE PUD-3 AND TO CHANGE ZONING FROM
INDUSTRY B-1 TO OFFICE 3-A**

Attached you will find two copies of written protests regarding the proposed property control ordinance known as the petition to amend East Cambridge PUD-3 and to change zoning from Industry B-1 to Office 3-A.

Please affix the block and lot identification for the land owned by the protester within the area proposed to be rezoned. Please forward one copy with the block and lot information included to the Engineering Department so that they may calculate the percentage of the area proposed to be rezoned owned by the protester.

Thank you for your cooperation.



REAL ESTATE OFFICE

PHILIP A. TRUSSELL, CRE
Director of Real Estate
Associate Treasurer

1999 FEB 22 A 9:32
1999 FEB 22 A 9:32
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
238 Main Street, Suite 200
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142
617/253-4304
FAX 617/258-6676

18 February 1999

Ms. Margaret M. Drury
City Clerk
City of Cambridge
City Hall
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Toomey/Born Petition to Amend East Cambridge
PUD-3 and Office 3-A Districts

Dear Ms. Drury:

Massachusetts Institute of Technology owns property at One Broadway:

Block 14	Lot 29	33,652	sf	✓
Block 14	Lot 30	36,400	sf	✓
Block 14	Lot 31	26,640	sf	✓
Block 14	Lot 34	<u>18,606</u>	sf	✓
TOTAL		115,298	sf	

AKO
OWNER
BAOGEN CO., INC.
1 BROADWAY

As a Cambridge landowner, we oppose the petition to rezone land in East Cambridge by changing the provisions of the PUD-3 district and the Office 3A district. We therefore respectfully file a written protest pursuant to Chapter 40A, Section 5 of the Massachusetts General Laws.

This petition singles out one area, with a limited number of property owners. The requirements to limit construction below grade and increase open space can lead to potential conflicts where the desire to create open space is offset by limiting mechanical rooms, electrical equipment, storage, parking, etc. to above-grade locations. Furthermore, the reduction in Floor Area Ratio from 3 to 2 effectively reduces the value of our property.

MIT continues its active participation in city-wide rezoning discussions through the Cambridge Growth Management Advisory Committee and its general support of efforts to address zoning-related issues.

Please place this written protest into the office records of the City.

Very truly yours,

Philip A. Trussell
Philip A. Trussell

PAT/aga



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

(617) 349-4260

FAX (617) 349-4307

tty/TDD (617) 492-0235

D. MARGARET DRURY
CITY CLERK

DONNA P. LOPEZ
DEPUTY CITY CLERK

**TO: SALLY POWERS, DIRECTOR
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE**

FROM: D. MARGARET DRURY, CITY CLERK

DATE: FEBRUARY 22, 1999

**RE: WRITTEN PROTEST REGARDING THE AMENDMENT IN EAST
CAMBRIDGE PUD-3 AND TO CHANGE ZONING FROM
INDUSTRY B-1 TO OFFICE 3-A**

Attached you will find two copies of written protests regarding the proposed property control ordinance known as the petition to amend East Cambridge PUD-3 and to change zoning from Industry B-1 to Office 3-A.

Please affix the block and lot identification for the land owned by the protester within the area proposed to be rezoned. Please forward one copy with the block and lot information included to the Engineering Department so that they may calculate the percentage of the area proposed to be rezoned owned by the protester.

Thank you for your cooperation.



REAL ESTATE OFFICE

PHILIP A. TRUSSELL, CRE
Director of Real Estate
Associate Treasurer

1999 FEB 22 A 9:32
1999 FEB 22 A 9:32
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

238 Main Street, Suite 200
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142
617/253-4304
FAX 617/258-6676

18 February 1999

Ms. Margaret M. Drury
City Clerk
City of Cambridge
City Hall
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139

Re: Toomey/Born Petition to Amend East Cambridge
PUD-3 and Office 3-A Districts

Dear Ms. Drury:

Massachusetts Institute of Technology owns property at One Broadway:

Block 14	Lot 29	33,652	sf
Block 14	Lot 30	36,400	sf
Block 14	Lot 31	26,640	sf
Block 14	Lot 34	<u>18,606</u>	sf
TOTAL		115,298	sf


As a Cambridge landowner, we oppose the petition to rezone land in East Cambridge by changing the provisions of the PUD-3 district and the Office 3A district. We therefore respectfully file a written protest pursuant to Chapter 40A, Section 5 of the Massachusetts General Laws.

This petition singles out one area, with a limited number of property owners. The requirements to limit construction below grade and increase open space can lead to potential conflicts where the desire to create open space is offset by limiting mechanical rooms, electrical equipment, storage, parking, etc. to above-grade locations. Furthermore, the reduction in Floor Area Ratio from 3 to 2 effectively reduces the value of our property.

MIT continues its active participation in city-wide rezoning discussions through the Cambridge Growth Management Advisory Committee and its general support of efforts to address zoning-related issues.

Please place this written protest into the office records of the City.

Very truly yours,


Philip A. Trussell

PAT/aga



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

(617) 349-4260

FAX (617) 349-4307

tty/TDD (617) 492-0235

D. MARGARET DRURY
CITY CLERK

DONNA P. LOPEZ
DEPUTY CITY CLERK

**TO: SALLY POWERS, DIRECTOR
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE**

FROM: D. MARGARET DRURY, CITY CLERK

DATE: FEBRUARY 17, 1999

**RE: WRITTEN PROTEST REGARDING THE AMENDMENT IN EAST
CAMBRIDGE PUD-3 AND TO CHANGE ZONING FROM
INDUSTRY B-1 TO OFFICE 3-A**

Attached you will find two copies of written protests regarding the proposed property control ordinance known as the petition to amend East Cambridge PUD-3 and to change zoning from Industry B-1 to Office 3-A.

Please affix the block and lot identification for the land owned by the protester within the area proposed to be rezoned. Please forward one copy with the block and lot information included to the Engineering Department so that they may calculate the percentage of the area proposed to be rezoned owned by the protester.

Thank you for your cooperation.

1999 FEB 16 P 2:09
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

February 12, 1999

Margaret Drury, City Clerk
City of Cambridge
City Hall
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139

RE: *Toomey/Born Petition to Amend East Cambridge
PUD-3 and to Change Zoning from Industry B-1 to office 3-A*

Dear Ms. Drury:

As owners of the land in Cambridge listed below, we oppose the petition to amend the text of the Zoning Ordinance, and its Zoning Map by changing the existing Industry B-1 district bounded by the center lines of Binney Street, Third Street, Doc Linsky Way, and Second Street to Office 3-A.

As land owners who would be affected by this petition, we do hereby respectfully file a written protest pursuant to Chapter 40A, Section 5 of the Massachusetts General Laws. Massachusetts General Law Chapter 40A, Section, states generally that no zoning ordinance can be adopted except by a two-thirds vote of the City Council. For Cambridge, this would mean six (6) councilors. However, the statute further provides that if a written protest is filed prior to final approval of a change to the ordinance, stating the reasons for the protest, signed by the owners of twenty percent (20%) or more of the land proposed to be included in such change, or of the area of the land immediately adjacent extending three hundred feet (300') therefrom, then a three-fourths vote (7 councilors) would be necessary for the ordinance to be enacted. As land owners of real estate which will be affected by the proposed changes, we now so file such a protest.

We oppose the petition because:

1. The land use planning rationale for the proposed amendment is not stated or self-evident.
2. The proposed amendment will so significantly reduce the currently proposed development of our property, which is permitted ("as of right"), as to result in an


Margaret Drury, City Clerk
February 12, 1999
Page 2

unlawful taking of our property without fair compensation.

3. The proposed amendment does not serve the best interest of the city of Cambridge or the people who live and work here. We believe that the current regulation is sufficient and that the proposed regulation will only decrease the value of our property.

Please place this written protest in the official records of the City.

Sincerely,



Peter B. Nichols
Senior Vice President
Duly Authorized

[drury5.wpd:tlm]

LIST of CAMBRIDGE PROPERTIES

<u>STREET ADDRESS</u>	<u>ASSESSORS</u>		<u>LAND</u>
	<u>MAP</u>	<u>LOTS</u>	<u>AREA SQ. FT.</u>
249 Third Street	27	68,76,77	32,161 ✓
80-122 Binney	15-27	24,26,27	27,474 ✓
83-91 Linsky Way	15	20,23	15,804 ✓
286 Third Street	15	22	24,404 ✓
		Total Square Feet	99,843
		Total Acres	2.29



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

(617) 349-4260

FAX (617) 349-4307

tty/TDD (617) 492-0235

**D. MARGARET DRURY
CITY CLERK**

**DONNA P. LOPEZ
DEPUTY CITY CLERK**

**TO: SALLY POWERS, DIRECTOR
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE**

FROM: D. MARGARET DRURY, CITY CLERK

DATE: MARCH 23, 1999

**RE: WRITTEN PROTEST REGARDING THE AMENDMENT IN EAST
CAMBRIDGE PUD-3 AND TO CHANGE ZONING FROM
INDUSTRY B-1 TO OFFICE 3-A**

Attached you will find two copies of written protests regarding the proposed property control ordinance known as the petition to amend East Cambridge PUD-3 and to change zoning from Industry B-1 to Office 3-A.

Please affix the block and lot identification for the land owned by the protester within the area proposed to be rezoned. Please forward one copy with the block and lot information included to the Engineering Department so that they may calculate the percentage of the area proposed to be rezoned owned by the protester.

Thank you for your cooperation.



Commonwealth Energy System
One Main Street
Post Office Box 9150
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142-9150
Telephone (617) 225-4000

March 17, 1999

1999 MAR 18 P 1:53

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

Ms. Margaret Drury
City Clerk
City Hall
City of Cambridge
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139

Dear Ms. Drury:

The Cambridge land owners listed below oppose the zoning petition as proposed by the City Council, which calls for amending Section 13.40 Planned Unit Development 3: Development Controls of the Zoning Ordinance and the Zoning Map of the City of Cambridge.

As such, we do hereby respectfully file a written protest pursuant to Chapter 40A, Section 5 of the Massachusetts General Laws. Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 40A, Section 5, states generally that no zoning ordinance can be adopted except by a two-thirds vote of the City Council. For Cambridge, this would mean six (6) councillors. However, the statute further provides that if a written protest is filed prior to final approval of a change to the ordinance, stating the reasons for the protest, signed by the owners of twenty percent (20%) or more of the land proposed to be included in such change, or of the area of the land immediately adjacent extending three hundred feet (300') therefrom, then a three-fourths vote (7 councillors) would be necessary for the ordinance to be enacted. As land owners of real estate which will be affected by the proposed changes, we now so file such a protest.

We oppose the proposed amendment to the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance because it does not serve the best interest of the City of Cambridge or the people who live and work here. We believe that the current regulation is sufficient and that the proposed regulation will only decrease the value of our property. Please refer to Schedule A attached for a detailed listing of our land holdings adversely impacted by the proposed zoning petition.

Please place this written protest into the official records of the City.

Sincerely,

COMMONWEALTH ENERGY SYSTEM
Commonwealth Gas Company
Darvel Realty Trust

Michael P. Sullivan
Vice President

Enclosure

SCHEDULE A

COMMONWEALTH ENERGY SYSTEM

**Cambridge Land Holdings Impacted
by the
Proposed Zoning Petition**

<u>Address</u>	<u>Owner</u>	<u>Square Feet</u>	<u>Assessor Map/Lot</u>
One Main St.	Darvel Realty Trust	68,520	13/23
101 Main St.	Darvel Realty Trust	84,784	14/36
31 Potter Park	Commonwealth Gas Co.	142,460	29/34, 13, 43, 44
330 Third St.	Commonwealth Gas Co.	13,855	14/39



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

(617) 349-4260

FAX (617) 349-4307

ty/TDD (617) 492-0235

D. MARGARET DRURY
CITY CLERK

DONNA P. LOPEZ
DEPUTY CITY CLERK

**TO: SALLY POWERS, DIRECTOR
ASSESSOR'S OFFICE**

FROM: D. MARGARET DRURY, CITY CLERK

DATE: MARCH 17, 1999

**RE: WRITTEN PROTEST REGARDING THE AMENDMENT IN EAST
CAMBRIDGE PUD-3 AND TO CHANGE ZONING FROM
INDUSTRY B-1 TO OFFICE 3-A**

Attached you will find two copies of written protests regarding the proposed property control ordinance known as the petition to amend East Cambridge PUD-3 and to change zoning from Industry B-1 to Office 3-A.

Please affix the block and lot identification for the land owned by the protester within the area proposed to be rezoned. Please forward one copy with the block and lot information included to the Engineering Department so that they may calculate the percentage of the area proposed to be rezoned owned by the protester.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Cambridge Redevelopment Authority

Four Cambridge Center/Second Floor
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142
617 492 6800
617 492 6804 (FAX)



POD amend

1999 MAR 15 A 11: 19

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

March 15, 1999

Councillor Henrietta Davis
Chair
Committee on Ordinances
Cambridge City Hall
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Second Floor
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Re: Proposed Amendment(s) to the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance
Affecting Land in the Office 3A Zoning District

Dear Councillor Davis:

The Cambridge Redevelopment Authority is the owner of land and an abutter to land affected by the proposed amendments to the provisions of the Cambridge Zoning Ordinance for the Office 3A district. We wish to advise the Committee on Ordinances and the Planning Board of our objection as a land owner, abutter and supervising public agency responsible for the planned development of the Kendall Square Urban Renewal Area.

The basis for our objection to the proposed change is that the change is not sound land use policy. Our objection relates to the proximate location of the affected land area to the Kendall Square rapid transit station and supportive public bus service and private shuttle bus services serving the area. The City of Cambridge growth policy document, "Towards a Sustainable Future", specifically encourages locating commercial development close to public transit. Given the proximity to public transportation, the Planning Board has stated that "...a singular advantage of siting commercial development at Kendall Square is the opportunity to advance the City's objective of reducing the use of the automobile to commute to and from work...".

Kendall Square is currently served by six (6) MBTA bus lines and seven (7) private shuttle bus services. The shuttle serving the Galleria Mall carries 60,000 passengers per month. Another private shuttle (Tech Shuttle) operated by Charles River Transportation Management carries 3,000 passengers per week. Several employers in the area including Millennium, Lotus, Cambridge Technology Partners, Hyatt, US Trust and Sonesta actively support or provide bus shuttle service to Kendall Square.

Councillor Henrietta Davis
March 15, 1999
Page Two

By reducing the maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) from 3.0 to 2.0, by increasing the required minimum usable open space from 10% to 50% and by prohibiting construction below grade, economically-feasible commercial development is not possible. The O3A District is within 150' of transportation services including rapid transit; public bus lines, and private bus shuttles. Given the location and level of transportation services, the area can be more intensely developed without negative traffic congestion and air quality impacts.

Accordingly, we respectfully request that the Committee on Ordinances in its report to the City Council recommend unfavorable action on the proposed amendments to the O3A zoning district.

Sincerely yours,



Joseph F. Tulimieri
Executive Director

cc: Cambridge Planning Board
✓ D. Margaret Drury, Esquire
Mr. Robert W. Healy

OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

1999 MAR 15 A 11:19

1999 FEB 16 P 2:09
OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

February 12, 1999

Margaret Drury, City Clerk
City of Cambridge
City Hall
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, MA 02139

RE: ***Toomey/Born Petition to Amend East Cambridge
PUD-3 and to Change Zoning from Industry B-1 to office 3-A***

Dear Ms. Drury:

As owners of the land in Cambridge listed below, we oppose the petition to amend the text of the Zoning Ordinance, and its Zoning Map by changing the existing Industry B-1 district bounded by the center lines of Binney Street, Third Street, Doc Linsky Way, and Second Street to Office 3-A.

As land owners who would be affected by this petition, we do hereby respectfully file a written protest pursuant to Chapter 40A, Section 5 of the Massachusetts General Laws. Massachusetts General Law Chapter 40A, Section, states generally that no zoning ordinance can be adopted except by a two-thirds vote of the City Council. For Cambridge, this would mean six (6) councilors. However, the statute further provides that if a written protest is filed prior to final approval of a change to the ordinance, stating the reasons for the protest, signed by the owners of twenty percent (20%) or more of the land proposed to be included in such change, or of the area of the land immediately adjacent extending three hundred feet (300') therefrom, then a three-fourths vote (7 councilors) would be necessary for the ordinance to be enacted. As land owners of real estate which will be affected by the proposed changes, we now so file such a protest.

We oppose the petition because:

1. The land use planning rationale for the proposed amendment is not stated or self-evident.
2. The proposed amendment will so significantly reduce the currently proposed development of our property, which is permitted ("as of right"), as to result in an

Consent Communication #23

A communication was received from Peter B. Nichols, Senior Vice President, The Beal Companies, transmitting written opposition to the Petition to Amend East Cambridge PUD-3 and to Change zoning from Industry B-1 to Office 3-A as owners of

49 and 286 third Street
80-122 Binney Street
83-91 Linsky Way

In City Council February 22, 1999

Referred to

petition


Margaret Drury, City Clerk
February 12, 1999
Page 2

unlawful taking of our property without fair compensation.

3. The proposed amendment does not serve the best interest of the city of Cambridge or the people who live and work here. We believe that the current regulation is sufficient and that the proposed regulation will only decrease the value of our property.

Please place this written protest in the official records of the City.

Sincerely,



Peter B. Nichols
Senior Vice President
Duly Authorized

[drury5.wpd:tlm]

LIST of CAMBRIDGE PROPERTIES

<u>STREET ADDRESS</u>	<u>ASSESSORS</u>		<u>LAND</u>
	<u>MAP</u>	<u>LOTS</u>	<u>AREA SQ. FT.</u>
249 Third Street	27	68,76,77	32,161
80-122 Binney	27	24,26,27	27,474
83-91 Linsky Way	15	20,23	15,804
286 Third Street	15	22	24,404
		Total Square Feet	99,843
		Total Acres	2.29



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS

PLANNING BOARD

CITY HALL ANNEX, 57 INMAN STREET, CAMBRIDGE 02139

May 4, 1999

To the Honorable, the City Council:

Subject: City Council Petition to Amend Certain Provisions of the Office 3A District and the PUD 3 District, and to Rezone to Office 3A/PUD-3 an Area Between Linskey Way and Binney Street Now Zoned Industry B-1.

Recommendation The Planning Board does not recommend adoption of the Petition

Findings

1. The Board is concerned with a number of the petition's provisions, among them the prohibition against underground construction. Concern about the disruption that may be involved with the substantial movement of soil that is necessary to construct such facilities is understandable. That concern is intensified by the knowledge that much of that soil is contaminated by residual chemicals from earlier uses on the site. The legitimate concern about the impact on public health on the handling of such contaminated soils is appropriately addressed by environmental laws and regulations now in place.

Should it prove to be the case that underground construction is not feasible for cost or environmental reasons, it is currently allowable to build above grade. However, prohibiting underground construction imposes a design solution that encourages the least desirable building form and site design on all future development: large expanses of surface parking or the lower floors of buildings given over to parking garages and the bulk of all construction visible above ground.

The requirement for fifty percent open space in the amendments proposed is very large in comparison to other PUD districts in the city. That requirement, in combination with the interior roads necessary to serve large development sites and the surface parking lots encouraged because of the prohibition against below grade parking, would further

contribute to a suburban development pattern. Such a pattern would be inconsistent with much development already in the districts and the opportunities presented by the location of many of the affected parcels close to public transit at Kendall Square. To the extent that the proposed increase in the amount of open space on a lot is intended to address shortage of open space in East Cambridge, other efforts, including the work of the Green Ribbon Committee recently appointed by the City Manager, are more directly serving that need.

The appropriate FAR to be applied to the area affected by the petition, as well as that appropriate in abutting zoning districts, is a matter that deserves thoughtful discussion. Concern about excess scale and traffic impacts of future development are important considerations; on the other hand, the location of the affected lots near public transit suggests the opportunity to reduce car dependence while achieving the benefits of somewhat higher density. Several proposals have been made in the past decade to reduce allowable FAR in these districts. There are ongoing forums for that discussion with the likelihood of recommendations for change in the near future (see below).


2. This rezoning proposal, if adopted, would directly impact the Special Permit #141 recently granted by the Planning Board for the proposed Cambridge Research Park. The potential for development inherent in the current zoning regulations was clearly illustrated by this development proposal, and the petitioners have clearly expressed their grave concerns about its impact on the East Cambridge community. It is the Board's view that if the approved project is reviewed in all its details, it would compare reasonably in its impact on the community to any project that might be constructed under the petition's proposed base zoning or PUD alternative.

The project is superior in the public benefits that accrue to the community in the form of its mix of uses, urban design plan, public amenities, and such details as a mandated publicly accessible skating rink. The approved plan contains less office and research and development uses, those activities that generate the most problematic traffic, than would be allowed under either the petition's base district or the PUD district provisions. The additional approved uses: housing, retail and hotel, that bring the project's density above the petition's 2.0 FAR limit, will make the future development more accessible to the city as a whole, integrate the buildings and uses more completely into the larger city that surrounds it, and in the synergy of uses and services allow more life and activity on the site for longer hours without a concomitant increase in the traffic impact on surrounding neighborhoods. The Board would not encourage the use of this petition process to overturn the PUD special permit approval.

3. Several proposals have been made for changing the regulations applicable in the area impacted by the petition. Proposals made by the Planning Board in 1991, affecting this area, as well as a much wider precinct beyond the petition's focus, would have made significant changes to the limits to development permitted in the base Office 3A district, and somewhat more modest changes in the PUD 3A district.

Those changes and the others would likely have had significant positive impact on the issues that are of concern to the petitioners in this instance, as the area affected was so much larger and the scope of changes proposed were more substantial in many aspects. Although those proposals were not adopted, the recommendations made then would certainly be useful to the Citywide Growth Management Advisory Committee as it reviews possible changes to all commercial districts in the city, as would the proposals made in the Pitkin, et al citywide zoning petition of 1997. It is the Board's view that the Citywide Growth Management process is the most appropriate venue for consideration of the kinds of changes proposed in this Petition.

For the Planning Board,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Paul Dietrich", written over a horizontal line. The signature is fluid and includes a large loop at the end.

Paul Dietrich, Chairman

13.



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139



TEL. 349-4300
FAX. 349-4307

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
ROBERT W. HEALY
City Manager

RICHARD C. ROSSI
Deputy City Manager

May 10, 1999

To The Honorable, The City Council:

Please find attached for your consideration, a recommendation from the Planning Board on the City Council Petition to amend certain provisions of the Office 3A District and the PUD 3 District, and to rezone to Office 3A/PUD-3 an area between Linsky Way and Binney Street now zoned Industry B-1.

Very truly yours,

Robert W. Healy
City Manager

RWH/mec
Attachment

Consent Agenda #13

○ Cal 16
17A

Relative to a recommendation from
the PB on Petition to amend
certain provisions of the Office 3A
District and the PUD 3 District,
and to rezone to Office 3A/PUD 3
an area between Linsky Way and
Binney Street now zoned Industry
B-1.

5/24/99

Remains on Unfinished Business.

5/26/99

Placed on file due to expiration
of time limit.

In City Council May 10, 1999

Tabled on motion of
Councillor Toomey.

5/17/99

Referred to

petition on motion of Councillor
Sullivan