

THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

March 21, 1990

MICHAEL S. DUKAKIS
GOVERNOR

JOHN DEVILLARS
SECRETARY

CERTIFICATE OF THE SECRETARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
ON THE
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

PROJECT NAME : Combined Sewer Overflow Facilities
Plan
PROJECT LOCATION : Boston, Cambridge, Chelsea, Somerville
EOEA NUMBER : 6956
PROJECT PROPONENT : Massachusetts Water Resources
Authority
DATE NOTICED IN MONITOR : January 12, 1990

The Secretary of Environmental Affairs herein issues a statement that the Draft Environmental Impact Report submitted on the above project adequately and properly complies with the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (G.L., c.30, s.61-62H) and with its implementing regulations (301 CMR 11.00).

I commend the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) for a comprehensive and well prepared Draft Facilities Plan/Environmental Impact Report document. The document succinctly lays out the issues associated with the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) facilities planning process and has accomplished a great deal towards resolution of siting of numerous structures and facilities which are important aspects of the continuing efforts in achieving cleaner surface waters in the Boston metropolitan area.

In the Final Facilities Plan/EIR, the MWRA should more fully examine the benefits to be obtained from a selection of the expanded alternative as the preferred facilities plan. Given the potential uses of the Inner Harbor area, the expanded alternative seems to be a preferable and more effective course of action in attaining the goals of many of the constituents of a cleaner Boston Harbor.

The Draft Facilities Plan/EIR has provided much information for reviewers of the document. As evidenced by the volume of well informed and thoughtful comments, many issues remain to be

resolved in the Final Facilities Plan/EIR. I recognize that this is primarily due to the nature of the facilities planning process, and I urge the MWRA to provide careful responses to the appended comments received on the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR.

Regarding the siting issues associated with specific CSO facilities as identified by many commentors, the MWRA should provide an analysis of any alternative sites as listed by the commentors and an explanation of the choice of the preferred site. With respect to the Calf Pasture site, is reuse of the existing building a feasible alternative to construction of a new facility adjacent to the building? Many of the alternatives identified by commentors are dedicated parklands. As such, I am reluctant to push the MWRA to consider siting CSO facilities at these alternative locations. However, if the Calf Pasture site remains the preferred alternative, the MWRA must make an extra effort to take all feasible steps to mitigate the impact on surrounding neighborhoods and enhance public recreational space in the area of the facility. The Harbor Point development project represents a substantial public investment to restore this area as a viable and enjoyable residential neighborhood. The CSO project must ensure that it does not detract from that effort.

I again urge the MWRA to include as an appendix a summary of the technical memoranda prepared prior to the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR. Many of the issues raised with respect to treatment technologies and program phasing are in all probability covered in these memoranda. The reader of the document should have an opportunity to ascertain where additional detailed information which is beyond the scope of the EIR documents may be located.


This document and this project are excellent catalysts for state, regional and local agencies to begin a comprehensive water quality management planning process not only in the Boston area, but in other stressed urban harbors statewide. Obviously, control of CSOs will contribute greatly to maintenance of present and proposed water quality standards. But even with an expanded CSO program and the other wastewater improvement efforts planned by the MWRA, there will still be substantial improvements necessary to achieve the full potential of the Inner Harbor and its tributaries. Significant pollution events will continue to occur, primarily as a result of contaminated stormwater discharges. I intend the water quality management planning process to be a cooperative effort among state, regional and local government agencies, as well as non-profit and research organizations as well as citizen groups. Cooperative efforts

will be required to solve the problems of contaminated stormwater discharges and it is only through a dedicated planning process that feasible solutions may be identified and ultimately implemented. While the focus of such a cooperative effort should begin with Boston Harbor and other areas studied in the MWRA CSO Facilities Plan process, I intend for the planning process to ultimately include other areas of the Commonwealth such as New Bedford, Lynn and Salem harbors.

Therefore, while it is not strictly within the scope of this EIR and while this problem is clearly not the MWRA's sole responsibility, I would like this FEIR to identify strategies to begin to address this problem and get the cooperative process started.

March 21, 1990

Date


John DeVillars, Secretary

Comments received :

1/24/90	-	Frank Lennon
2/16/90	-	The Boston Society of Architects
2/21/90	-	K. Edward Alexander
2/22/90	-	Lynn Orlowitz
2/26/90	-	Boston Water and Sewer Commission
	-	Stewart Sanders
3/ 1/90	-	Shirley M. Brown
	-	Dr. William G. Elliott
3/6/90	-	Conservation Law Foundation
3/7/90	-	L. A. Schaefer
3/9/90	-	Boston Natural Areas Fund
	-	Boston City Councillor James E. Byrne
	-	Boston City Councillor Rosario Salerno
3/12/90	-	Clam Point Neighborhood Association
	-	Massachusetts Port Authority
	-	Massachusetts Coastal Zone Management Office
3/13/90	-	Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency
	-	Dorchester Neighborhood Services Office, Office of the Mayor, Boston
	-	Boston Environment Department
	-	DEP Division of Water Pollution Control
	-	Town of Winthrop (by S. David Graber)
	-	University of Massachusetts, Boston
	-	MWRA Advisory Board
	-	Facilities Planning Citizen's Advisory Committee

- Thorn Mead
- Daniel P. Hughes
- John M. Chiffer
- Boston City Councillor Michael J. McCormack
- Columbia Point Health Center
- United States Environmental Protection Agency,
Region I
- Bernadette P. Warwick
- City of Quincy (by David Standley, P.E.)
- Laura E. Conrad
- Central Artery/Third Harbor Tunnel Project
- National Park Service
3/14/90 - New England Aquarium
- Charles River Watershed Association
- The Boston Harbor Association
- Cambridge Conservation Commission
- John Fitzgerald Kennedy Library
- Harbor Point Apartments Company
- Janet M. Wagner
- Save the Harbor, Save the Bay
- Richard P. Mertens (Boston Redevelopment
Authority)
- Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority
- Massachusetts Audubon Society
3/15/90 - Boston Redevelopment Authority
3/16/90 - Salvatore LaMattina, Coordinator, Neighborhood
Services, City of Boston
- Massachusetts Historical Commission
- Boston City Councillor Christopher A. Iannella
3/20/90 - Boston Housing Authority
- Boston Environment Department

JD/JWF/jwf



MASSACHUSETTS WATER RESOURCES AUTHORITY

Charlestown Navy Yard
100 First Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02129
CAMBRIDGE MA.

Telephone:
(617) 242-6000

March 30, 1990

Dear Interested Party:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Certificate issued by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) and the Draft Facilities Plan for the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) project.

In summary, Secretary DeVillars made the following comments:

- (1) The MWRA should more fully examine the benefits to be obtained by selecting the expanded alternative as the preferred facilities plan.
- (2) The MWRA should provide an analysis of any alternative sites suggested by the commentors and an explanation of the choice of the preferred site.
- (3) If the Calf Pasture site remains the preferred alternative, the MWRA must mitigate the impact on surrounding neighborhoods and enhance public recreational space in the area of the facility.
- (4) The MWRA should include as an Appendix, a summary of the technical memoranda prepared prior to the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR.

In preparing the Final Facilities Plan/EIR, the Authority has already begun the tasks recommended by the Secretary.


If I can assist you with questions about the Secretary's Report or the Combined Sewer Overflow project in general, please call me at 241-6057, x-1192.

Sincerely,

Carrie Fuchs

Carrie Fuchs
Community Relations Coordinator

CF18/cl
Enclosure



MICHAEL S. DUKAKIS
GOVERNOR

JOHN DEVILLARS
SECRETARY

THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

RECEIVED
OFFICE OF CITY CLERK
1990 APR -2 AM 11:04

CAMBRIDGE MA. March 21, 1990

CERTIFICATE OF THE SECRETARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
ON THE
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

PROJECT NAME : Combined Sewer Overflow Facilities
Plan
PROJECT LOCATION : Boston, Cambridge, Chelsea, Somerville
EOEA NUMBER : 6956
PROJECT PROPONENT : Massachusetts Water Resources
Authority
DATE NOTICED IN MONITOR : January 12, 1990

The Secretary of Environmental Affairs herein issues a statement that the Draft Environmental Impact Report submitted on the above project adequately and properly complies with the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (G.L., c.30, s61-62H) and with its implementing regulations (301 CMR 11.00).

I commend the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) for a comprehensive and well prepared Draft Facilities Plan/Environmental Impact Report document. The document succinctly lays out the issues associated with the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) facilities planning process and has accomplished a great deal towards resolution of siting of numerous structures and facilities which are important aspects of the continuing efforts in achieving cleaner surface waters in the Boston metropolitan area.

In the Final Facilities Plan/EIR, the MWRA should more fully examine the benefits to be obtained from a selection of the expanded alternative as the preferred facilities plan. Given the potential uses of the Inner Harbor area, the expanded alternative seems to be a preferable and more effective course of action in attaining the goals of many of the constituents of a cleaner Boston Harbor.

The Draft Facilities Plan/EIR has provided much information for reviewers of the document. As evidenced by the volume of well informed and thoughtful comments, many issues remain to be

resolved in the Final Facilities Plan/EIR. I recognize that this is primarily due to the nature of the facilities planning process, and I urge the MWRA to provide careful responses to the appended comments received on the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR.

Regarding the siting issues associated with specific CSO facilities as identified by many commentors, the MWRA should provide an analysis of any alternative sites as listed by the commentors and an explanation of the choice of the preferred site. With respect to the Calf Pasture site, is reuse of the existing building a feasible alternative to construction of a new facility adjacent to the building? Many of the alternatives identified by commentors are dedicated parklands. As such, I am reluctant to push the MWRA to consider siting CSO facilities at these alternative locations. However, if the Calf Pasture site remains the preferred alternative, the MWRA must make an extra effort to take all feasible steps to mitigate the impact on surrounding neighborhoods and enhance public recreational space in the area of the facility. The Harbor Point development project represents a substantial public investment to restore this area as a viable and enjoyable residential neighborhood. The CSO project must ensure that it does not detract from that effort.

I again urge the MWRA to include as an appendix a summary of the technical memoranda prepared prior to the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR. Many of the issues raised with respect to treatment technologies and program phasing are in all probability covered in these memoranda. The reader of the document should have an opportunity to ascertain where additional detailed information which is beyond the scope of the EIR documents may be located.


This document and this project are excellent catalysts for state, regional and local agencies to begin a comprehensive water quality management planning process not only in the Boston area, but in other stressed urban harbors statewide. Obviously, control of CSOs will contribute greatly to maintenance of present and proposed water quality standards. But even with an expanded CSO program and the other wastewater improvement efforts planned by the MWRA, there will still be substantial improvements necessary to achieve the full potential of the Inner Harbor and its tributaries. Significant pollution events will continue to occur, primarily as a result of contaminated stormwater discharges. I intend the water quality management planning process to be a cooperative effort among state, regional and local government agencies, as well as non-profit and research organizations as well as citizen groups. Cooperative efforts

will be required to solve the problems of contaminated stormwater discharges and it is only through a dedicated planning process that feasible solutions may be identified and ultimately implemented. While the focus of such a cooperative effort should begin with Boston Harbor and other areas studied in the MWRA CSO Facilities Plan process, I intend for the planning process to ultimately include other areas of the Commonwealth such as New Bedford, Lynn and Salem harbors.

Therefore, while it is not strictly within the scope of this EIR and while this problem is clearly not the MWRA's sole responsibility, I would like this FEIR to identify strategies to begin to address this problem and get the cooperative process started.

March 21, 1990

Date


John DeVillars, Secretary

Comments received :

1/24/90	-	Frank Lennon
2/16/90	-	The Boston Society of Architects
2/21/90	-	K. Edward Alexander
2/22/90	-	Lynn Orlowitz
2/26/90	-	Boston Water and Sewer Commission
	-	Stewart Sanders
3/ 1/90	-	Shirley M. Brown
	-	Dr. William G. Elliott
3/6/90	-	Conservation Law Foundation
3/7/90	-	L. A. Schaefer
3/9/90	-	Boston Natural Areas Fund
	-	Boston City Councillor James E. Byrne
	-	Boston City Councillor Rosario Salerno
3/12/90	-	Clam Point Neighborhood Association
	-	Massachusetts Port Authority
	-	Massachusetts Coastal Zone Management Office
3/13/90	-	Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency
	-	Dorchester Neighborhood Services Office, Office of the Mayor, Boston
	-	Boston Environment Department
	-	DEP Division of Water Pollution Control
	-	Town of Winthrop (by S. David Graber)
	-	University of Massachusetts, Boston
	-	MWRA Advisory Board
	-	Facilities Planning Citizen's Advisory Committee

- Thorn Mead
- Daniel P. Hughes
- John M. Chiffer
- Boston City Councillor Michael J. McCormack
- Columbia Point Health Center
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region I
- Bernadette P. Warwick
- City of Quincy (by David Standley, P.E.)
- Laura E. Conrad
- Central Artery/Third Harbor Tunnel Project
- National Park Service
- 3/14/90 - New England Aquarium
- Charles River Watershed Association
- The Boston Harbor Association
- Cambridge Conservation Commission
- John Fitzgerald Kennedy Library
- Harbor Point Apartments Company
- Janet M. Wagner
- Save the Harbor, Save the Bay
- Richard P. Mertens (Boston Redevelopment Authority)
- Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority
- Massachusetts Audubon Society
- 3/15/90 - Boston Redevelopment Authority
- 3/16/90 - Salvatore LaMattina, Coordinator, Neighborhood Services, City of Boston
- Massachusetts Historical Commission
- Boston City Councillor Christopher A. Iannella
- 3/20/90 - Boston Housing Authority
- Boston Environment Department

JD/JWF/jwf



MASSACHUSETTS WATER RESOURCES AUTHORITY

Charlestown Navy Yard
100 First Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02129

RECEIVED BY
OFFICE OF CITY CLERK

APR 10 PM 3:33

CAMBRIDGE MA.

Telephone:
(617) 242-6000

March 30, 1990

Dear Interested Party:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Certificate issued by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) and the Draft Facilities Plan for the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) project.

In summary, Secretary DeVillars made the following comments:

- (1) The MWRA should more fully examine the benefits to be obtained by selecting the expanded alternative as the preferred facilities plan.
- (2) The MWRA should provide an analysis of any alternative sites suggested by the commentors and an explanation of the choice of the preferred site.
- (3) If the Calf Pasture site remains the preferred alternative, the MWRA must mitigate the impact on surrounding neighborhoods and enhance public recreational space in the area of the facility.
- (4) The MWRA should include as an Appendix, a summary of the technical memoranda prepared prior to the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR.

In preparing the Final Facilities Plan/EIR, the Authority has already begun the tasks recommended by the Secretary.

If I can assist you with questions about the Secretary's Report or the Combined Sewer Overflow project in general, please call me at 241-6057, x-1192.

Sincerely,

Carrie Fuchs

Carrie Fuchs
Community Relations Coordinator

CF18/cl
Enclosure



THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS

March 21, 1990

MICHAEL S. DUKAKIS
GOVERNOR

JOHN DEVILLARS
SECRETARY

CERTIFICATE OF THE SECRETARY OF ENVIRONMENTAL AFFAIRS
ON THE
DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

PROJECT NAME : Combined Sewer Overflow Facilities
Plan
PROJECT LOCATION : Boston, Cambridge, Chelsea, Somerville
EOEA NUMBER : 6956
PROJECT PROPONENT : Massachusetts Water Resources
Authority
DATE NOTICED IN MONITOR : January 12, 1990

The Secretary of Environmental Affairs herein issues a statement that the Draft Environmental Impact Report submitted on the above project adequately and properly complies with the Massachusetts Environmental Policy Act (G.L., c.30, s61-62H) and with its implementing regulations (301 CMR 11.00).

I commend the Massachusetts Water Resources Authority (MWRA) for a comprehensive and well prepared Draft Facilities Plan/Environmental Impact Report document. The document succinctly lays out the issues associated with the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) facilities planning process and has accomplished a great deal towards resolution of siting of numerous structures and facilities which are important aspects of the continuing efforts in achieving cleaner surface waters in the Boston metropolitan area.

In the Final Facilities Plan/EIR, the MWRA should more fully examine the benefits to be obtained from a selection of the expanded alternative as the preferred facilities plan. Given the potential uses of the Inner Harbor area, the expanded alternative seems to be a preferable and more effective course of action in attaining the goals of many of the constituents of a cleaner Boston Harbor.

The Draft Facilities Plan/EIR has provided much information for reviewers of the document. As evidenced by the volume of well informed and thoughtful comments, many issues remain to be

resolved in the Final Facilities Plan/EIR. I recognize that this is primarily due to the nature of the facilities planning process, and I urge the MWRA to provide careful responses to the appended comments received on the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR.

Regarding the siting issues associated with specific CSO facilities as identified by many commentors, the MWRA should provide an analysis of any alternative sites as listed by the commentors and an explanation of the choice of the preferred site. With respect to the Calf Pasture site, is reuse of the existing building a feasible alternative to construction of a new facility adjacent to the building? Many of the alternatives identified by commentors are dedicated parklands. As such, I am reluctant to push the MWRA to consider siting CSO facilities at these alternative locations. However, if the Calf Pasture site remains the preferred alternative, the MWRA must make an extra effort to take all feasible steps to mitigate the impact on surrounding neighborhoods and enhance public recreational space in the area of the facility. The Harbor Point development project represents a substantial public investment to restore this area as a viable and enjoyable residential neighborhood. The CSO project must ensure that it does not detract from that effort.

I again urge the MWRA to include as an appendix a summary of the technical memoranda prepared prior to the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR. Many of the issues raised with respect to treatment technologies and program phasing are in all probability covered in these memoranda. The reader of the document should have an opportunity to ascertain where additional detailed information which is beyond the scope of the EIR documents may be located.

This document and this project are excellent catalysts for state, regional and local agencies to begin a comprehensive water quality management planning process not only in the Boston area, but in other stressed urban harbors statewide. Obviously, control of CSOs will contribute greatly to maintenance of present and proposed water quality standards. But even with an expanded CSO program and the other wastewater improvement efforts planned by the MWRA, there will still be substantial improvements necessary to achieve the full potential of the Inner Harbor and its tributaries. Significant pollution events will continue to occur, primarily as a result of contaminated stormwater discharges. I intend the water quality management planning process to be a cooperative effort among state, regional and local government agencies, as well as non-profit and research organizations as well as citizen groups. Cooperative efforts

will be required to solve the problems of contaminated stormwater discharges and it is only through a dedicated planning process that feasible solutions may be identified and ultimately implemented. While the focus of such a cooperative effort should begin with Boston Harbor and other areas studied in the MWRA CSO Facilities Plan process, I intend for the planning process to ultimately include other areas of the Commonwealth such as New Bedford, Lynn and Salem harbors.

Therefore, while it is not strictly within the scope of this EIR and while this problem is clearly not the MWRA's sole responsibility, I would like this FEIR to identify strategies to begin to address this problem and get the cooperative process started.

March 21, 1990

Date


John DeVillars, Secretary

Comments received :

1/24/90	-	Frank Lennon
2/16/90	-	The Boston Society of Architects
2/21/90	-	K. Edward Alexander
2/22/90	-	Lynn Orlowitz
2/26/90	-	Boston Water and Sewer Commission
	-	Stewart Sanders
3/ 1/90	-	Shirley M. Brown
	-	Dr. William G. Elliott
3/6/90	-	Conservation Law Foundation
3/7/90	-	L. A. Schaefer
3/9/90	-	Boston Natural Areas Fund
	-	Boston City Councillor James E. Byrne
	-	Boston City Councillor Rosario Salerno
3/12/90	-	Clam Point Neighborhood Association
	-	Massachusetts Port Authority
	-	Massachusetts Coastal Zone Management Office
3/13/90	-	Massachusetts Housing Finance Agency
	-	Dorchester Neighborhood Services Office, Office of the Mayor, Boston
	-	Boston Environment Department
	-	DEP Division of Water Pollution Control
	-	Town of Winthrop (by S. David Graber)
	-	University of Massachusetts, Boston
	-	MWRA Advisory Board
	-	Facilities Planning Citizen's Advisory Committee

- Thorn Mead
- Daniel P. Hughes
- John M. Chiffer
- Boston City Councillor Michael J. McCormack
- Columbia Point Health Center
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region I
- Bernadette P. Warwick
- City of Quincy (by David Standley, P.E.)
- Laura E. Conrad
- Central Artery/Third Harbor Tunnel Project
- National Park Service
- 3/14/90 - New England Aquarium
- Charles River Watershed Association
- The Boston Harbor Association
- Cambridge Conservation Commission
- John Fitzgerald Kennedy Library
- Harbor Point Apartments Company
- Janet M. Wagner
- Save the Harbor, Save the Bay
- Richard P. Mertens (Boston Redevelopment Authority)
- Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority
- Massachusetts Audubon Society
- 3/15/90 - Boston Redevelopment Authority
- 3/16/90 - Salvatore LaMattina, Coordinator, Neighborhood Services, City of Boston
- Massachusetts Historical Commission
- Boston City Councillor Christopher A. Iannella
- 3/20/90 - Boston Housing Authority
- Boston Environment Department

JD/JWF/jwf



MASSACHUSETTS WATER RESOURCES AUTHORITY

RECEIVED BY
COMMUNITY CLERK
100 First Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02129
1990 APR -6 AM 10:50

CAMBRIDGE MA.

Telephone:
(617) 242-6000

March 30, 1990

Dear Interested Party:

Enclosed for your information is a copy of the Certificate issued by the Secretary of Environmental Affairs on the Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) and the Draft Facilities Plan for the Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) project.

In summary, Secretary DeVillars made the following comments:

- (1) The MWRA should more fully examine the benefits to be obtained by selecting the expanded alternative as the preferred facilities plan.
- (2) The MWRA should provide an analysis of any alternative sites suggested by the commentors and an explanation of the choice of the preferred site.
- (3) If the Calf Pasture site remains the preferred alternative, the MWRA must mitigate the impact on surrounding neighborhoods and enhance public recreational space in the area of the facility.
- (4) The MWRA should include as an Appendix, a summary of the technical memoranda prepared prior to the Draft Facilities Plan/EIR.

In preparing the Final Facilities Plan/EIR, the Authority has already begun the tasks recommended by the Secretary.

If I can assist you with questions about the Secretary's Report or the Combined Sewer Overflow project in general, please call me at 241-6057, x-1192.

Sincerely,

Carrie Fuchs

Carrie Fuchs
Community Relations Coordinator

CF18/cl
Enclosure

2- S- 395
Comm. from Carrie Fuchs, Community Relations
Coordinator, transmitting the Draft Environ-
mental Impact Report and the Draft Facilities
Plan for the Combined Sewer Overflow project.

In City Council,

April 23, 1990

Placed on file