



City of Cambridge.

CITY SOLICITOR'S OFFICE,

April 11 1876

Hon Isaac Bradford, Mayor

Dear Sir: At your request I have examined the proposed ordinance in relation to the Fire Department as to the provisions of the first section.

That section proposes a reduction in certain companies but provides no way in which it shall be made, and the only way out of the difficulty would seem to be to consider all the members removed by the ordinance and that the Mayor and Aldermen must ~~make entirely an entire~~ new list appoint de novo. But this construction would be harsh, and on the whole I should be inclined to think that since no way is expressly referred to or pointed out by which the proposed reduction shall be made the ~~reduction~~ ^{reduction} ~~ordinance~~ was not intended to take effect ~~until~~ this year but ~~was~~ to be made at the

beginning of the next year. It seems
done ^{however} that the mission to provide how the
reduction shall be made and when, was
an oversight and that the obscurity may
be removed upon a further consideration
of the matter by the City Council.

Yours respectfully
J. M. Hammond
City Solicitor

City Solicitor's
Opinion

16

Appended -



Office of the City Solicitor

City of New York

1871