

#5

City of Cambridge

MASSACHUSETTS

In City Council

10/28/

1985

Commons Danehy
Councilor Clinton

	YEA	NAY	ABSENT	PRESENT
Mr. Daniel J. Clinton	✓			
Mr. Thomas W. Danehy	✓			
Ms. Sandra Graham		✓		
Mr. Alfred W. LaRosa	✓			
Mr. David E. Sullivan		✓		
Mr. Walter J. Sullivan	✓			
Mr. Alfred Vellucci		✓		
Ms. Alice K. Wolf		✓		
Mayor Duehay		✓		

4

5

0

0

Order as amended 4-5-0-0

#5

City of Cambridge

MASSACHUSETTS

In City Council

10/28

1985

Resolution LR To go back to #5 order

	YEA	NAY	ABSENT	PRESENT
Mr. Daniel J. Clinton		✓		
Mr. Thomas W. Danehy	✓			
Ms. Sandra Graham	✓			
Mr. Alfred W. LaRosa	✓			
Mr. David E. Sullivan	✓			
Mr. Walter J. Sullivan	✓			
Mr. Alfred Vellucci	✓			
Ms. Alice K. Wolf	✓			
Mayor Duehay	✓			

8

1

0

Notes Suspended

Councillor Clinton
Councillor Daulty

Whereas: The City of Cambridge imposed a restriction on Condominium ~~coverages~~ effective August 1979 and

Whereas: Some ^{ers} purchasers of Condominium which were under rent control ~~were~~ not allowed to occupy such residences and.

Whereas: The Ordinance has left a number of hybrid building which has cause an undue hardship on the potential condominium resident and.

Whereas: The City has no desire to displace elderly or low income residents ~~that~~ as the condominiums become vacant. by the current ~~residents~~ ~~residents~~ ~~residents~~ and.

see
mtg
page
~~Whereas: The owners of all other units be given three months to relocate and allow the owners to legally occupy. therefore be it~~

Resolved: The City Council go ^{on} record. as allowing the legal owner of condominiums to occupy such units.

Resolved:

That it is the policy of this
City Council that the legal owner
of these Lyford condominiums be allowed
to occupy same and be it further

Resolved:

That the present occupants of the
units be provided 3 months to relocate
in the unit said owner indicates
is within his or her ^{income} desire to ~~own~~
an owner occupant -

~~Ordered:~~

~~that it is the policy of this
City Council that all rental housing units
which currently fall within the so-called
"Hybrid" category be exempt from Ordinance
due to the fact that absent
such exemption, removal permits would
be required to allow current tenants
to become owner-occupants.~~



City of Cambridge

(Amended Order No. 5)

IN CITY COUNCIL

October 28, 1985

COUNCILLOR CLINTON
COUNCILLOR DANEHY

- WHEREAS: The City of Cambridge imposed a restriction on condominium conversion effective August, 1979; and
- WHEREAS: Some purchasers of condominiums which were under rent control were not allowed to occupy such residences; and
- WHEREAS: The ordinance has left a number of hybrid buildings which has caused an undue hardship on the potential condominium resident; therefore be it
- RESOLVED: The City has no desire to displace current elderly or low income residents of said condominiums but wishes free control by the owner as they become vacant.

In City Council October 28, 1985.

Failed of adoption as amended by a yea and nay vote:-
Yeas 4; Nays 5; Absent 0.

Attest:- Paul E. Healy, City Clerk.

A true copy;

ATTEST:-

A handwritten signature of Paul E. Healy in dark ink, written over a horizontal line.

Paul E. Healy, City Clerk.



City of Cambridge

COUNCILLOR CLINTON
COUNCILLOR DANEHY

IN CITY COUNCIL

- WHEREAS: The City of Cambridge imposed a restriction on condominium conversion effective August, 1979; and
- WHEREAS: Some purchasers of condominiums which were under rent control were not allowed to occupy such residences; and
- WHEREAS: The ordinance has left a number of hybrid buildings which has caused an undue hardship on the potential condominium resident; and
- WHEREAS: ~~The City has no desire to displace elderly or low income residents, as the condominiums become vacant by the current residents; therefore be it~~ ^{but}
- RESOLVED: That it is the policy of this City Council that the legal owner of these hybrid condominiums be allowed to occupy same; and be it further
- RESOLVED: That the present occupants of these units be provided three months to relocate in the event said owner indicates in writing his or her desire ~~to become an owner-occupant.~~
- TO TAKE FREE CONTROL OF SAID CONDOMINIUMS.

THE CITY HAS NO DESIRE TO DISPLACE
CURRENT ELDERLY OR LOW INCOME
RESIDENTS OF SAID CONDOMINIUMS
BUT WISHES ^{FREE} CONTROL ^{BY} ~~FOR~~ THE
OWNER AS THEY BECOME VACANT:
THEREFORE BE IT



City of Cambridge

(Original Order No. 5)

IN CITY COUNCIL

October 28, 1985

COUNCILLOR CLINTON
COUNCILLOR DANEHY

- WHEREAS: The City of Cambridge imposed a restriction on condominium conversion effective August, 1979; and
- WHEREAS: Some purchasers of condominiums which were under rent control were not allowed to occupy such residences; and
- WHEREAS: The ordinance has left a number of hybrid buildings which has caused an undue hardship on the potential condominium resident; and
- WHEREAS: The City has no desire to displace current elderly or low income residents of said condominiums but wishes free control by the owner as they become vacant; therefore be it
- RESOLVED: That it is the policy of this City Council that the legal owner of these hybrid condominiums be allowed to occupy same; and be it further
- RESOLVED: That the present occupants of these units be provided three months to relocate in the event said owner indicates in writing his or her desire to take free control of said condominiums.

Order # 5

S-773

C. Clinton & C. Danehy re: resolutions that
it be the policy of the City Council that
the legal owner of hybrid condominiums be
allowed to occupy same & that the present
occupants of these units be provided with
three months to relocate in the event that
said owner indicates in writing the desire to
take free control of said condominiums.

In City Council,

October 28, 1985

10/28/85

CL
CD

Order as amended

Filed on Roll

Ep11-450-0
See Roll Case