

5

5

RECEIVED BY
OFFICE OF CITY CLERK
97 OCT 30 AM 10 25
CAMBRIDGE MA.

Daryl C. Janes
50a J.F.K. Street
Cambridge, Ma. 02138

October, 29 1997

Cambridge City Hall
831 Massachusetts Ave.
Cambridge, Ma. 02139

Attention Ms. Drury;

Please take note: The enclosed document should be
considered constructive notice. Please see that the City
Council receives a copy.

Thank you for your attention;

Sincerely



Daryl C. Janes

5-1

5

Federal Court

Daryl C. Janes
50 J.F.K. Street
Cambridge, Ma. 02138

V.

Eliot Square Associates
1270 Soldiers Field Road
Brighton Ma. 02135

and

Intercontinental Contractor
1270 Solders Field Road
Brighton Ma. 02135

Civil Suit

Parties

1. Plaintiff: Daryl Janes, Pro se, is a fifty two year old white male. He currently resides in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at 50 J.F.K. Street (In property owned by his family since 1948.) He has been a resident of 50 J.F.K. street off and on for the last forty eight years.

2. Defendant: Eliot Square Associates 1270 Soldiers Field Road, Brighton Ma. 02135. And, Intercontinental Contractor, 1270 Solders Field Road Boston, Ma.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

3. Injunction/ Stop Action

4. Plaintiff. I, Daryl Janes, Pro se, am bring this action to stop the Defendants, Eliot Square Associates, and the Intercontinental Contract from demolishing historical property in Harvard Square, Cambridge Massachusetts, which is situated opposite me at 93 Winthrop Street and 100 Mount Auburn Street. (100 Mount Auburn Street has already been demolished, 93 Winthrop Street is still standing.)

5-2

- 5
- 5
5. The properties mentioned in the above are on the National Historic Register. The preservation of the building at 93 Winthrop street that remains must be preserved at all cost.
 6. The unique quality of Harvard Squares is undermined by the demonition of the building located at 100 Mount Auburn street, and that uniqueness would continue to be undermined if the building located at 93 Winthrop street was also torn down.
 7. The Cambridge Historical Commission who voted in favor of the demolition is unqualified to render any opinion concerning any historical structures, and the need to preserve the character of historical sites.
 8. The area is already so populated that any increase in density would put stress on the residents in the area and cause more activity which is already greatly overloaded.
 9. The variances which had to be allowed are so numerous as to disqualify it for any consideration.
 10. Harvard Square has already been greatly compromised by numerous demolitions which show extreme recklessness and conspiracy on the part of the Cambridge Historical Commission.
 11. The panel on the Historic Commission were deliberately and conspiratorially picked for their lack of qualifications, and, that they would be easily led to go alone with whatever is presented to them by one or two interested parties. Such a panel, due to their lack of knowledge, are in effect told how to vote by one or two partial persons on the Commission.
 12. Such egregious bias on the part of the leaders of the Cambridge Historic Commission have shown criminal bad faith in their choice of such unqualified persons to sit on the panel of judges.
 13. As a member of a family who has owned property on J.F.K. in Harvard Squire oppose the building mentioned in the above, for the last 49 years I can draw no other conclusion from what I have seen and heard and witnessed that Cambridge is without a doubt the worst offender of the destruction of Historical Sites in the country and that no where in any city in any country have so many permits been granted to demolish historical buildings--as to make the Commission liable for criminal action, along with any builder or demolition company who participates in such covert action to profit from such historical destruction.
- 5-3

5

14. Cambridge, one of the most historical cities in the country, has a moral responsibility to consider that when any historic structure is gone it is gone for good. The Cambridge Historic Commission has shown extreme and conspiratorial recklessness in this regard.

15. To let the above mentioned Historic sites be demolished the Federal Government would in addition to the above offenses embolden the offenders to ever great destruction leaving the entire character and essence of the city, already impaired, along with it's uniqueness, permanently altered.

16. That history is totally dependent on each successive generation to preserve it from oblivion has now egregiously been undermined by the demolition of these historic buildings for no other reason than profit for a private corporations which is not even located in Cambridge.

17. This will embolden the already unfortunate attitude on the part of the current generation that the present is the only thing that matters, and that the past is of little or no significance, and therefore, it is to their advantage to destroy it--And, that, the highest good is profit without any responsibility for the national historic character perpetuated in these important buildings--and, that, it is to their advantage to destroy historic sites as an impediment to progress and profit.

16. The preservation of history keeps out foreign powers. When such nations see such recklessness on the part of the indigenous people, such as we are witnessing in Cambridge they grow more bold in their contempt for us as a nation. And people view us as easy and weak prey to conquer militarily or economically which is currently happening in the latter case.

17. This disregard for historical sites continuously going on show to the rest of the world extreme moral decay beyond what the Cambridge Historical Commission realizes--and, will, in the end have disastrous results for America as a nation. The current disenfranchisement is already going on.

REQUEST

18. The plaintiff therefore demands--(a) an Injunction, or/Stop Action Order for the demolition of the historical

5-4

5

5

site located at 93 Mount Auburn Street, Cambridge
Massachusetts--and, (b) a Federal inquiry into the
wholesale destruction of historical sites in Cambridge
Massachusetts unprecedented anywhere.

Daryl C. Janes



Daryl C. Janes
(Pro se)

Consent Communication #5

5-692

Communication was received from
Daryl C. Janes, transmitting his
opposition to demolition and
development at 93 Winthrop Street
and 100 Mount Auburn Street.

In City Council November 3, 1997

PLACED ON FILE