

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

(617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.

In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.


In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT

LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.


In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.


In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.


In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.


In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.

In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.

The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be sub-



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

• (617) 498-9020

LAW DEPARTMENT

RUSSELL B. HIGLEY
CITY SOLICITOR

MICHAEL C. COSTELLO
ASSISTANT CITY SOLICITOR

EDWARD A. CUNNINGHAM
SEVERLIN B. SINGLETON
DAVID B. O'CONNOR
BIRGE ALBRIGHT
LEGAL COUNSEL

August 12, 1983

Mr. Robert W. Healy
City Manager
City Hall
Cambridge, Mass.

Re: Nuclear Free Cambridge Petition

Dear Mr. Healy:

You have asked my opinion regarding the present legal posture of the above Petition.

On July 25, 1983, the Election Commission certified to the City Clerk that the Petition contained 4589 valid signatures, and that there were 41,011 registered voters in the City. Thus, the Petition contained signatures amounting in number to more than 8% but less than 15% of the registered voters in the City.

On August 8, 1983, at a regularly scheduled City Council meeting, a motion was made to place the Nuclear Free Cambridge ordinance on the ballot in the November election. This motion was defeated by a vote of 4 yeas, 4 nays and 1 abstention.

General Laws, chapter 43, section 40 provides:

If an initiative petition is signed by registered voters equal in number to at least eight per cent but less than fifteen per cent of the total number of registered voters, and said measure be not passed without alteration within twenty days by the city council or the school committee, as provided in the preceding section, such proposed measure, without alteration, shall be submitted

August 12, 1983

by the city council to a vote of the registered voters of the city at the next regular municipal election. A proposed measure under this section or section thirty-nine shall become effective if it shall be approved by registered voters of the city equal in number to one third of the whole number thereof and also by a majority of the voters voting on such measure, but not otherwise.


The 20 days mentioned in the first sentence of §40 means 20 days after the certificate of the Election Commission. See G.L. c. 43, §39.

Thus §40 states that if the City Council does not pass the proposed measure within 20 days (i.e., on or before Monday, August 15), "such proposed measure...shall be submitted by the...council to a vote of the registered voters... at the next regular municipal election.

In my opinion, if the Council fails to pass the measure itself, §40 still requires affirmative action from the Council for the measure to be placed on the ballot. The Council declined to take that action on August 8. Presumably, it could act at some later date.

If the Council fails to act, the proponents of the measure could then seek to compel Council action by mandamus. I express no opinion as to whether a mandamus action would be successful.

Very truly yours,


Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

RBH/jl

S-432
Comm. from Russell B. Higley, City Solicitor,
transmitting a copy of ~~a copy~~ of his legal
opinion sent to the City Manager, with re-
gard to the Nuclear Free Cambridge petition.

August 12, 1983