



City of Cambridge

IN CITY COUNCIL

June 10, 1974

ORDERED:

That this City Council go on record accepting Chapter 347 of the Acts of 1973 the same being: "An Act Increasing the Maximum Pension Payable to Certain Widows."

In City Council June 10, 1974.
Adopted by a ye and nay vote:-
Yeas 9; Nays 0; Absent 0.
Attest:- Paul E. Nealy, City Clerk.

A true copy.

ATTEST:

Paul E. Nealy



City of Cambridge

9.

IN CITY COUNCIL

November 25, 1974

Councillor Danehy

ORDERED:

That the City Manager be and hereby is requested to appear before this City Council on Monday, November 25, 1974 with the members of the Retirement Board in order to explain why this City Administration has failed to implement a law passed by the Great and General Court increasing widows annual pensions from \$1,200.00 to \$3,000.00 in accordance with Chapter 95A of the General Laws.

Referred to the City Council Meeting of December 9, 1974 for more information.

Order # 9

C. Danehy re: failure to implement
the law increasing the annual pensions
for widows from \$1,200.00 to \$3,000.00.

In City Council,

November 25, 1974



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139
Tel. 876-6800

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT
JAMES L. SULLIVAN
City Manager

November 25, 1974


To the Honorable, the City Council:

Reference is made to a City Council order of Councilor Danehy requesting information concerning the implementation of a law passed by the Great and General Court increasing widow's annuities in accordance with Chapter 32, Section 95A.

I have requested the Retirement Board to attempt to determine the number of individuals who would be qualified for the increase in benefits, as well as the total increase in the individual benefits and the total net effect upon the City. On November 4th I received back from the Retirement Board a report listing the total number of eligible as 92 and indicating the current amounts received for the annuitants in question.

The language of the law is not as explicitly stated as in Councilor Danehy's order and I have requested the City Solicitor to clarify what appears to be a conflict in the law.

Very truly yours,



James L. Sullivan
City Manager

JLS/b

Excursion #1 12, *L*

Comm. from the City Manager relative to
the implementation of Chapter 32 Section
95A.

255

11/25/74

More information

Requested by L. Danahy

for the meeting of

12/9/74 on this matter

In City Council,

November 25, 1974

6/23/75

No Action -

Placed on File -