



City of Cambridge

7.

IN CITY COUNCIL

December 18, 1989

COUNCILLOR WALTER J. SULLIVAN

ORDERED:

That all items currently pending before the City Council with the exception of those items currently listed under "Unfinished Business" and not acted upon by the end of the 1989 legislative session be placed in the files of the City Clerk without prejudice, subject to recall by any member.

In City Council December 18, 1989.

Adopted by the affirmative vote of nine members.

Attest:- Joseph E. Connarton, City Clerk.

A true copy;

ATTEST:-

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Joseph E. Connarton". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Joseph E. Connarton, City Clerk.



City of Cambridge

12.
IN CITY COUNCIL

Councillor Walsh

October 16, 1989

- WHEREAS: This Council was advised in the City Manager's October 2, 1989 communication that "...the outlook for the City's immediate fiscal future is somewhat cloudy...and that the slowdown of the local economy means that the City can no longer depend upon continued increases in state aid and increased tax levy limits due to new construction..." , it is essential that we try to clear some of the clouds and insure some degree of fiscal stability as we face the future; and
- WHEREAS: Such an effort will be advanced by supporting the initiative petition, whose language has been approved by the state's Attorney General, proposed by the Massachusetts Municipal Association to appear on the November, 1990 ballot; and
- WHEREAS: In her communication of August 10, 1989, the Executive Director of the MMA said, " This ballot question would cut a 40 percent slice of the State's growth taxes-- income, corporate and sales taxes--for cities and towns, and require that these funds be distributed to them on a quarterly basis. The proposed law does not create any new tax revenue, but asks that local governments get their fair share. The question sets up enforcement mechanisms if the funds are not appropriated " ; and
- WHEREAS: MMA must obtain nearly 100,000 signatures to place the question on the ballot; now therefore be it
- RESOLVED: That this Council goes on record in support of the initiative petition and, consistent with a court decision which prohibits municipalities from spending public funds on ballot questions, pledges its support in gathering the signatures required to place the question on the ballot; and be it further
- RESOLVED: That the City Clerk be and is requested to prepare a duly engrossed copy of this Resolution and transmit same to Ms. Sheila Cheimets, Executive Director, Mass. Municipal Association, 60 Temple Place, Boston, MA. 02111.



City of Cambridge

AMENDED ORDER

12.

IN CITY COUNCIL

October 16, 1989

COUNCILLOR WALSH

- WHEREAS: This City Council was advised in the City Manager's October 2, 1989 communication that "... the outlook for the City's immediate fiscal future is somewhat cloudy. . . and that the slowdown of the local economy means that the City can no longer depend upon continued increases in state aid and increased tax levy limits due to new construction . . . ", it is essential that we try to clear some of the clouds and insure some degree of fiscal stability as we face the future; and
- WHEREAS: Such an effort will be advanced by supporting the initiative petition, whose language has been approved by the state's Attorney General, proposed by the Massachusetts Municipal Association to appear on the November, 1990 ballot; and
- WHEREAS: Such an initiative would require taxes to be increased in order to fund this proposal; and
- WHEREAS: In her communication of August 10, 1989, the Executive Director of the Massachusetts Municipal Association said, "This ballot question would cut a forty (40) percent slice of the State's growth taxes---income, corporate and sales taxes---for cities and towns, and require that these funds be distributed to them on a quarterly basis. The proposed law does not create any new tax revenue, but asks that local governments get their fair share. The question sets up enforcement mechanisms if the funds are not appropriated"; and
- WHEREAS: Massachusetts Municipal Association must obtain nearly 100,000 signatures to place the question on the ballot; now therefore be it
- RESOLVED: That this City Council goes on record in support of the initiative petition and, consistent with a court decision which prohibits municipalities from spending public funds on ballot questions, pledges its support in gathering the signatures required to place the question on the ballot; and be it further
- RESOLVED: That the City Clerk be and hereby is requested to prepare a duly engrossed copy of this resolution and transmit same to Ms. Sheila Cheimets, Executive Director, Massachusetts Municipal Association, 60 Temple Place, Boston, MA 02111.



City of Cambridge

ORIGINAL ORDER
12.

IN CITY COUNCIL
October 16, 1989

COUNCILLOR WALSH

- WHEREAS: This City Council was advised in the City Manager's October 2, 1989 communication that "... the outlook for the City's immediate fiscal future is somewhat cloudy. . . and that the slowdown of the local economy means that the City can no longer depend upon continued increases in state aid and increased tax levy limits due to new construction . . . ", it is essential that we try to clear some of the clouds and insure some degree of fiscal stability as we face the future; and
- WHEREAS: Such an effort will be advanced by supporting the initiative petition, whose language has been approved by the state's Attorney General, proposed by the Massachusetts Municipal Association to appear on the November, 1990 ballot; and
- WHEREAS: In her communication of August 10, 1989, the Executive Director of the Massachusetts Municipal Association said, "This ballot question would cut a forty (40) percent slice of the State's growth taxes--income, corporate and sales taxes--for cities and towns, and require that these funds be distributed to them on a quarterly basis. The proposed law does not create any new tax revenue, but asks that local governments get their fair share. The question sets up enforcement mechanisms if the funds are not appropriated"; and
- WHEREAS: Massachusetts Municipal Association must obtain nearly 100,000 signatures to place the question on the ballot; now therefore be it
- RESOLVED: That this City Council goes on record in support of the initiative petition and, consistent with a court decision which prohibits municipalities from spending public funds on ballot questions, pledges its support in gathering the signatures required to place the question on the ballot; and be it further
- RESOLVED: That the City Clerk be and hereby is requested to prepare a duly engrossed copy of this resolution and transmit same to Ms. Sheila Cheimets, Executive Director, Massachusetts Municipal Association, 60 Temple Place, Boston, MA 02111.

Order # 12 S-869

Councillor Walsh re: support of the initiative
petition which prohibits municipalities from
spending public funds on ballot questions.

12/28/89 Placed on file
without prejudice

See Order # 7
of 12/18/89

In City Council,

October 16, 1989

Order Chapter
righted by
Councillor Wolf

as amended
10/23/89 Tabled by
Councillor Walsh
on voice vote of 8 members
Councillor David Sullivan
recorded as "Present"