

I admit the privilege that may be granted by a city or town for thus encumbering the street for a reasonable time. But I must protest against encumbering this great thoroughfare, as has been done by the authority of the city of Cambridge, so many times the past year. It is at one time the route between North Cambridge & Boston, was so encumbered with buildings that passengers for this place upon the cars, were obliged to change cars five different times upon the route. Now I will ask you if it is just & right, to thus encumber this highway? Or if it is necessary thus to occupy the public avenues, why not require the parties having this privilege while moving the large buildings occupying so great a

portion of the highway, to work a day & night gang in order to expedite matters a little? I sincerely hope you will call the attention of the Street Commissioner to the buildings now on North Avenue, and if possible have an open road at least that the Horse cars may be able to pass, and that everything will be done that can be under the circumstances for the benefit of the public. I remain therefore very truly your obedient servant

Geo. F. Wellington
Lessee of the Arlington Horse Railroad

In B^d. of Aldermen May 22, 1867.
Read to the Board.

Attest: Justin A. Jacobs Secy

Communications
of Esq. J. Wellington
showing Buildings
May 22. 1867.

Arlington May 15th 1867
Esq. Parmenter Esq.
Dear Sir

Allow me to
call your attention to the obstructions
in the great highway through Cambridge
that leads to this place. Lexington & Concord.
I mean the buildings now on North Avenue
in process of being moved. One large build-
ing has been mounted on trucks &
remained in the street several days
without any power being applied to
moving it at all. Another a chapel
is being moved at a "snail's pace" between
the frequent showers, and which occupies
the Horse Railroad track, thus necessitating
600 passengers daily through rain
and mud to change cars at that point.

In Board of Mayor and Aldermen }
Cambridge Feb 6th 1867. }

"The Committee on Ordinances", to whom was referred that part of the Mayor's Address, relating to more stringent regulations in regard to moving buildings through the streets of this City, - would submit that inasmuch as the Mayor and Aldermen have the entire option, of licensing or not licensing the removal of any building, - and that no license is granted, without a certificate from some Member of the Board that the removal can be safely permitted,

We therefore respectfully report that in our judgment, no further action is required. -

For the Committee }
W. A. Saunders. }

In Board of Aldermen, Feb. 6, 1867.

Accepted and sent down for concurrence.

Attest,

Justin A. Jacobs. City Clerk.
In Common Council, Feb. 12, 1867.

Concurred, Attest. Justin A. Jacobs. Clerk pro tem.

42

Report--

Removal of Buildings.

Feb. 6, 1867.