



City of Cambridge

Committee Report #1

IN CITY COUNCIL

October 23, 2000

COUNCILLOR DAVIS

ORDERED: That the City take all the steps necessary to ensure that rental owners of property are notified of this city ordinance and that the City Manager be and hereby is requested to provide funding to ensure the notification process.

In City Council October 23, 2000.

Adopted by the affirmative vote of nine members.

Attest:- D. Margaret Drury, City Clerk.

A true copy;

ATTEST:-

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "D. Margaret Drury". The signature is written in a cursive style with a large, looped "D" and a long, sweeping tail.

D. Margaret Drury
City Clerk

Councillor Sullivan asked what was the additional cost. Mr. Hansen responded there is no additional expense. Mr. Hansen stated that he could supply to the committee what other cities have done regarding the lighting level.

Councillor Sullivan stated that the wording "not less than and greater than" was an accommodation made to satisfy all parties concerned. Ms. Glowa stated that the Police Department had indicated that some people wanted motion detectors in lieu of a continuously lit light. Lieutenant Walsh responded that it is a preference issue.

Councillor Davis stated that "to be lit continuously" was unclear. This sounds like someone is responsible to turn on the light and the owner is the only one responsible. Councillor Sullivan stated that the landlord is legally responsible. He asked Mr. Bersani who enforces the regulation. Mr. Bersani responded that his department would require enforcement by the landlord. Councillor Davis inquired if residents would be required to retrofit. Mr. Bersani responded in the affirmative.

Mr. Bersani stated that the Zoning Ordinance contains more specific language on lighting and that lighting needs to be shielded.

Councillor Sullivan asked whether lighting that shines into the street would require the Inspectional Services Department to enforce the regulations. Mr. Bersani stated technically yes, however his department does not get complaints about lighting shining in the streets. Councillor Sullivan stated that the city can get definitive. With the improvements made in technology the definition should be bland. Mr. Hansen suggested the definition in the Zoning Ordinance. Superintendent Murphy stated that back flash on lights is to reflect the light out on to the sidewalk.

Councillor Davis asked if there is an issue with foot-candles. Mr. Bersani responded that foot-candles can be hard to enforce; guidance is needed for foot-candle measurement.

Councillor Sullivan asked for a suggested number for the wattage. Mr. Bersani responded between 20-60 wattage. Councillor Sullivan made a motion that the wording be "not less than 25 nor more than 60". Ms. Glowa suggested "not less than 25 nor more than 60 watts for incandescent bulbs, or for florescent bulbs shall shed the equivalent range of light." The committee accepted this suggestion.

The committee proceeded to the issue of locks in rental units. Ms. Glowa reviewed the proposed ordinance amendment as it relates to locks.

A discussion ensued regarding the effective date of the ordinance, if passed. Councillor Davis asked how does the city notify the general public of this important change in the law. A scheme needs to be developed, she said. Councillor Sullivan stated that the legal requirement is met through advertising. A six-month or a one-year grace period could be established. He favored a one-year grace period. Mr. Bersani stated that property owners might have a problem to adhere to the regulation in six months.

Councillor Sullivan asked what mechanism can be used to get this information out to the general public. Mr. Bersani stated that this is a security issue. He felt that the Police Department should distributed a press release. This information, he said, should go out to the public. No permit is required from Inspectional Services stated Mr. Bersani. Councillor Sullivan stated that the Inspectional Services Department could also let the public know that there is a new city ordinance that requires compliance. Councillor Davis made the following motion:

ORDERED: That the City take all the steps necessary to ensure that rental owners of property are notified of this city ordinance and that the City Manager provide funding to ensure the notification process.

The motion carried.

A discussion ensued regarding special knowledge restrictions and means of egress windows. Ms. Glowa stated that the State Building Code requires one means of egress per sleeping room. Councillor Davis stated that bedrooms need to be as airy as possible. Councillor Sullivan stated that "egress window" is a term of art in the Building Code. Ms. Glowa suggested that the language in the last sentence of Section 8.68.030 read as follows: "Said lock shall not be installed on any sleeping room (means of egress) window as described in Section 1010.4 of the State Building Code (6th ed.)." No action was taken on this suggested language. Councillor Sullivan made a motion that the language contained in the Building Code relative to windows to be opened all the way without special knowledge be restated in section 8.68.030. The motion carried.

At the conclusion of this discussion it was voted that:

- The ordinance shall take effect one year after passage
- Funding be appropriated to provide for a thorough notification process
- Law Department would make the appropriate changes to the ordinance and forward the revised text to the Fire, Police and

Inspectional Services Departments for their approval within two week
(ATTACHMENT B)

- Approved revised text will be attached to this report and forwarded to the full City Council for referral to the Ordinance Committee
(ATTACHMENT B)

The committee now proceeded to a proposed home rule petition entitled **AN ACT TO PERMIT THE ENACTMENT OF A PROVISION REQUIRING AN INTERCOM SYSTEM IN ALL APARTMENT HOUSES HAVING MORE THAN THREE APARTMENTS. (ATTACHMENT C).**

Ms. Glowa informed the committee that a state statute is already in effect, but does not contain a provision on intercoms. This home rule would insert intercoms.

On motion of Councillor Sullivan the Home Rule Petition was also referred to the full City Council. The motion carried.

*****On September 20, 2000 an opinion was received from the Department of Public Safety stating that intercoms are required pursuant to the State Sanitary Code. This requirement obviates the need for a Home Rule Petition. **.***

Lieutenant Walsh asked if there was any discussion on patio doors. Mr. Bersani responded that patio doors are not allowed as a means of egress in the Building Code.

The issue of setting an agenda for the committee will be done informally on motion of Councillor Sullivan. The motion carried.

At the conclusion of the meeting Councillor Sullivan made a motion to refer **DRAFT # 3 to the Ordinance Committee.** The motion - carried.

The meeting adjourned at six o'clock and forty minutes p. m.

For the Committee,

Michael
Councillor Michael A. Sullivan,
Chair *by de*



ATTACHMENT A.

City of Cambridge

DRAFT

#1

In the Year One Thousand, Nine Hundred Ninety Nine

AN ORDINANCE

In amendment to an ordinance entitled "The Municipal Code of the City of Cambridge."

Be it ordained by the City Council of the City of Cambridge as follows:

Chapter 8 (Health and Safety) of the Cambridge Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding the following new Chapter 8.68 (Safety of Tenants in Rental Units):

Chapter 8.68

Safety of Tenants in Rental Units

Sections:

- 8.68.010 Lighting in buildings containing Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.020 Locks on doors providing ingress to Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.030 Locks on partially open windows in Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.040 Enforcement

8.68.010 Lighting in Buildings Containing Rental Units.

The owner of every building containing one or more rental dwelling units shall provide electric light fixtures in good working order over every egress door and on every porch and exterior stairway providing access to the building from the ground, and must provide working incandescent light bulbs or fluorescent tubes in all such fixtures to be lit continuously during the hours of darkness or activated by motion detectors. The intensity of lighting shall be not less than watts nor more than watts, and shall not unreasonably intrude into neighboring homes.

8.68.020 Locks in Rental Units.

1. Every owner of a rental dwelling unit shall install a deadbolt lock with a minimum throw of one inch on every door providing ingress to said unit.
2. Said lock on required means of egress doors shall comply with §1017.4 et seq. of the State Building code (6th ed.).
3. In particular
 - (a) said lock on required means of egress doors shall be at a maximum height of 48 inches above the finished floor. State Building Code (6th ed.), §1017.4.
 - (b) Manually operated edge or surface-mounted flush bolts and surface bolts are prohibited. State Building Code (6th ed.), §1017.4.1.1.
 - (c) Locking devices shall be operable from the inside without the use of a key, tool, or special knowledge or effort.

8.68.030 Locks on Partially Open Windows in Rental Units.

Every owner of a rental dwelling unit shall install locks on windows on the first floor, or which are accessible from the ground, a porch or an exterior stairway, which locks shall permit said windows to remain locked while partially open to a height of not more than six inches. Said locks shall not be installed on sleeping room means of egress windows as described in §1010.4 of the State Building Code (6th ed.).

8.68.040 Enforcement – Penalty.

A. Criminal Penalty

Any person who violates this Chapter shall be liable to a fine not exceeding \$300. Each day of violation shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

B. Non-criminal disposition

In the alternative, any person who violates any provision of this Chapter may be penalized by a non-criminal disposition as provided in G.L. c. 40, §21D. For purposes of this section, the following shall be enforcing persons: Police Officers and inspectors from the Inspectional Services Department.

The penalty for the first violation shall be \$100; for the second violation, \$200; and for all subsequent violations, \$300.



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE • EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

Robert W. Healy, City Manager

Richard C. Rossi, Deputy City Manager

October 4, 2000

Councillor Michael A. Sullivan, Chair of the Public Safety Committee
Councillor Henrietta Davis
Councillor Marjorie C. Decker

Dear Councillors Sullivan, Davis & Decker:

Please find attached for your consideration, proposed amendments to the Municipal Code in response to Committee Report Order #7A, dated 1/11/99, regarding exterior lighting for safety, and Committee Report Order #7C, dated 1/11/99, regarding deadbolt locks and safety chain locks for rental apartments.

Very truly yours,

Robert W. Healy
City Manager

RWH/mec
Attachment

Cc: Councillor Born
Councillor Braude
Mayor Galluccio
Vice Mayor Maher
Councillor Reeves
Councillor Toomey
Deputy City Clerk Lopez



2000 Things 2 Do in 2000

Russell B. Higley
City Solicitor

Donald A. Drisdell
Deputy City Solicitor

Nancy E. Glowa
First Assistant
City Solicitor



Arthur J. Goldberg
Supervising Legal Counsel

Legal Counsel
Birge Albright
Gail S. Gabriel
Vali Buland
Cheryl Anne Watson
Nancy B. Schlacter

CITY OF CAMBRIDGE

Office of the City Solicitor
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

TO: Robert W. Healy, City Manager

FROM: Nancy E. Glowa, First Assistant City Solicitor *NEG*

DATE: October 3, 2000

RE: Committee Report Order #7A (1-11-99) (Exterior Lighting for Safety)
Committee Report Order #7C (1-11-99) (Deadbolt locks and safety chain locks
for rental apartments)

Attached please find a copy of a proposed ordinance regarding locks and lighting for rental units. The Public Safety Committee of the City Council has asked our office to re-draft the proposed ordinance, and at your direction we have done so. This proposal includes the draft ordinance that was presented to the Public Safety Committee and the changes that were requested by the Committee at the September 5, 2000 Public Safety Committee Meeting. After the September 5th Committee meeting, several communications were received, including suggestions to make the following proposed amendments to the draft ordinance, to be submitted for the Council's consideration.

- A. A change of the phrase in Section 8.68.010 that states "not less than 25 watts to not more than 60 watts" to "not less than 250 lumens to not more than 900 lumens". This is only a change in the measurement of light. This proposed change is pursuant to a communication received on September 20, 2000 from Mike Hansen and concurred in by Commissioner Robert Bersani of Inspectional Services.
- B. An additional sentence to the end of Section 8.68.010 to read "The lights on porches that are not a direct egress route to exit the building will not be required to be continuously lit." This will exclude porches that are not direct egress exits from the requirement to have continuous lighting. This proposed change is pursuant to a communication received from Acting Fire Chief Gerald R. Reardon.

Please note that the City recently received an opinion from the Mass. Department of Public Health stating that intercoms are required pursuant to the State Sanitary Code. This opinion obviates the need for a Home Rule Petition by the City Council or any additional provisions in this draft ordinance regarding intercoms.

Enclosed please also find:

- I. Communication received from Mike Hansen dated September 20, 2000 regarding the appropriate measurement for light.
- II. Communication received on September 28, 2000 from Acting Chief Gerald Reardon of the Cambridge Fire Department regarding lights on non-egress porches.
- III. Communication received on September 20, 2000 from Commissioner Bersani of Inspectional Service Department regarding intercoms.
- IV. Communication received on September 22, 2000 from Commissioner Bersani of Inspectional Service Department regarding his support for the changes in terms for the measure light.
- V. Communication received on September 28, 2000 from Commissioner Watson of the Cambridge Police Department regarding his Departments support of the draft ordinance.

I am transmitting this draft and attachments through you to the Committee and the City's Clerk's Office.

CAW/cme

cc: Donna Lopez, Deputy City Clerk
Cheryl Anne Watson, Esq.
Commissioner Robert R. Bersani
Commissioner Ronnie Watson
Acting Chief Gerald R. Reardon

Attachments.

DRAFT

Two Thousand

Per Committee Meeting # 2

In amendment to an ordinance entitled "The Municipal Code of the City of Cambridge."

Chapter 8 (Health and Safety) of the Cambridge Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding the following new Chapter 8.68 (Safety of Tenants in Rental Units):

Chapter 8.68

Safety of Tenants in Rental Units

Sections:

- 8.68.010 Lighting in buildings containing Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.020 Locks on doors providing ingress to Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.30 Locks on partially open windows in Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.040 Enforcement
- 8.68.050 Effective Date

8.68.010 Lighting in Buildings Containing Rental Units.

The owner of every building containing one or more rental dwelling units shall provide electric light fixtures in good working order over every egress door and on every porch and exterior stairway providing access to the building from the ground, and must provide working incandescent light bulbs or fluorescent tubes in all such fixtures to be lit continuously during the hours of darkness or activated by motion detectors. The intensity of lighting for incandescent light bulbs shall be not less than 25 watts not more than 60 watts, or for fluorescent tubes the

equivalent of 25 lumens to 60 lumens, and such lighting shall be continuous, indirect and installed in a manner that will prevent direct light from shining onto adjacent property.

8.68.020 Locks in Rental Units.

1. Every owner of a rental dwelling unit shall install a deadbolt lock with a minimum throw of one inch on every door providing ingress to said unit.
2. Said lock on required means of egress doors shall comply with §1017.4 et seq. of the State Building Code (6th ed.).
3. In particular
 - (a) Said lock on required means of egress doors shall be at a maximum height of 48 inches above the finished floor. State Building Code (6th ed.), §1017.4.
 - (b) Locking devices shall be operable from the inside without the use of a key, tool, or special knowledge or effort.

8.68.030 Locks on Partially Open Windows in Rental Units.

Every owner of a rental dwelling unit shall install locks on windows on the first floor, or which are accessible from the ground, a porch or an exterior stairway, which locks shall permit said windows to remain locked while partially open to a height of not more than six inches. Said locks shall not be installed on sleeping room "means of egress" windows as described in §1010.4 of the State Building Code (6th ed.). §1010.4 states:

Every sleeping room below the fourth story in occupancies in Use Groups R and I-1 shall have at least one operable window or exterior door approved for emergency egress or rescue. The units shall be operable from the inside without the use of special knowledge, separate tools or force greater than that which is required for normal operation of the window.

8.68.040 Enforcement – Penalty.

A. Criminal Penalty

Any person who violates this Chapter shall be liable to a fine not exceeding \$300. Each day of violation shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

B. Non-criminal disposition

In the alternative, any person who violates any provision of this Chapter may be penalized by a non-criminal disposition as provided in G.L. c. 40, §21D. For purposes of this section, the following shall be enforcing persons: Police Officers and inspectors from the Inspectional Services Department.

The penalty for the first violation shall be \$100; for the second violation, \$200; and for all subsequent violations, \$300.

8.68.050 Effective Date

Chapter 8.68 will take effect one year from the date of enactment.

DRAFT #3

Two Thousand
*with proposed
Amendments Highlighted.*

In amendment to an ordinance entitled "The Municipal Code of the City of Cambridge."

Chapter 8 (Health and Safety) of the Cambridge Municipal Code is hereby amended by adding the following new Chapter 8.68 (Safety of Tenants in Rental Units):

Chapter 8.68

Safety of Tenants in Rental Units

Sections:

- 8.68.010 Lighting in buildings containing Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.020 Locks on doors providing ingress to Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.30 Locks on partially open windows in Rental Dwelling Units
- 8.68.040 Enforcement
- 8.68.050 Effective Date

8.68.010 Lighting in Buildings Containing Rental Units.

The owner of every building containing one or more rental dwelling units shall provide electric light fixtures in good working order over every egress door and on every egress porch and exterior stairway providing access to the building from the ground, and must provide working incandescent light bulbs or fluorescent tubes in all such fixtures to be lit continuously during the hours of darkness or activated by motion detectors. The intensity of lighting for incandescent light bulbs shall be not less than **250 lumens** to not more than **900 lumens** (not

less than 25 watts to not more 60 watts), or for fluorescent tubes the equivalent of 25 lumens to 60 lumens, and such lighting shall be continuous, indirect and installed in a manner that will prevent direct light from shining onto adjacent property. **The lights on porches that are not a direct egress route to exit the building will not be required to be continuously lit.**

8.68.020 Locks in Rental Units.

1. Every owner of a rental dwelling unit shall install a deadbolt lock with a minimum throw of one inch on every door providing ingress to said unit.
2. Said lock on required means of egress doors shall comply with §1017.4 et seq. of the State Building Code (6th ed.).
3. In particular
 - (a) Said lock on required means of egress doors shall be at a maximum height of 48 inches above the finished floor. State Building Code (6th ed.), §1017.4.
 - (b) Locking devices shall be operable from the inside without the use of a key, tool, or special knowledge or effort.

8.68.030 Locks on Partially Open Windows in Rental Units.

Every owner of a rental dwelling unit shall install locks on windows on the first floor, or which are accessible from the ground, a porch or an exterior stairway, which locks shall permit said windows to remain locked while partially open to a height of not more than six inches. Said locks shall not be installed on sleeping room "means of egress" windows as described in §1010.4 of the State Building Code (6th ed.). §1010.4 states:

Every sleeping room below the fourth story in occupancies in Use Groups R and I-1 shall have at least one operable window or exterior door approved for

emergency egress or rescue. The units shall be operable from the inside without the use of special knowledge, separate tools or force greater than that which is required for normal operation of the window.

8.68.040 Enforcement – Penalty.

A. Criminal Penalty

Any person who violates this Chapter shall be liable to a fine not exceeding \$300. Each day of violation shall constitute a separate and distinct offense.

B. Non-criminal disposition

In the alternative, any person who violates any provision of this Chapter may be penalized by a non-criminal disposition as provided in G.L. c. 40, §21D. For purposes of this section, the following shall be enforcing persons: Police Officers and inspectors from the Inspectional Services Department.

The penalty for the first violation shall be \$100; for the second violation, \$200; and for all subsequent violations, \$300.

8.68.050 Effective Date

Chapter 8.68 will take effect one year from the date of enactment.

re: Light Intensities

Tuesday, September 12, 2000 16:26:55

From: mikehansen@earthlink.net

To: henridavis@aol.com, msullivan@ci.cambridge.ma.us

9/21/00
M. Davis
C. Sullivan

First, thank you for allowing me to speak at the recent hearing on the draft safety ordinance. I very much appreciated the opportunity to provide some input.

After doing a bit of checking around, I have been reminded of something that was nagging at the back of my mind that evening and should have been obvious to me. There is a simple way to improve the language of the ordinance that right now says something to the effect of "between 20 and 60 watts incandescent or equivalent brightness." As I remember the discussion, one of the prime items was to make it easy for a consumer or installer to be able to determine the amount of light being put out by a fixture. I mentioned that "watts" is a measure of the energy consumed in producing the light and that the actual brightness varies widely depending on the type of bulb.

What I totally forgot was that most bulbs are also labeled with "lumens." The lumen rating is the true brightness. These labels are on most bulbs (compact florescents, incandescents, florescents, etc.) and are as obvious as the wattage in most cases.

I strongly urge the committee and the Council to reword the phrase to say from 250 to 900 lumens (which is approximately 20-60 watts incandescent-- it varies a bit whether the bulb is frosted or clear). This would be easily verified by an inspector, easily understood by an installer or electrician, and can be figured out by the average consumer. Everyone I checked with on the light pollution e-mail lists thinks this is the best compromise.

Thanks for considering my suggestion. I look forward to seeing the finished product (and the results).

Mike Hansen

2561 Massachusetts Avenue #1



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
FIRE DEPARTMENT
ISO Class 1 Department
HEADQUARTERS
491 BROADWAY, CAMBRIDGE, MA. 02138



GERALD R. REARDON
ACT. CHIEF OF DEPARTMENT

FRANCIS MURPHY III
ACT. ASSISTANT CHIEF

(617) 349-4974
FAX (617) 349-4912

Memorandum

To: Nancy E. Glowa, First Assistant City Solicitor
From: Acting Chief Gerald R. Reardon
Re: Safety of Tenants in Rental Units

I am pleased to see the lighting and security issues being addressed for the safety of all the residents.

I would offer the following suggestion on section 8.68.010

That porches be equipped with electric light fixtures in good working order, however that they not be required to be continuously lit unless they provide direct egress route to exit the building.

Porches at upper levels that provide no egress route could be problematic for neighbors with multiple story buildings in close proximity with lights lit all night.

Thank You.



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

September 20, 2000

To: Nancy Glowa

From: Bob Bersani

Subject: Response to your Memo; RE: Safety of Tenants in Rental Units

I have the following comments:

1. In your cover memo, it would be more accurate and significant to refer to an opinion from the Department of Public Safety **and the Massachusetts Department of Public Health.**
2. Recommend deleting 8.68.040(Intercoms) as this provision would be in conflict with the State Sanitary Code. Intercoms are enforceable now under the Sanitary Code as opposed to a year from now as proposed by this Ordinance. Also, the penalties are different under the State Sanitary as compared to those being proposed by this Ordinance.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Bob Bersani".

R. R. Bersani



CITY OF CAMBRIDGE
INTEROFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

October 5, 2000

To: Cheryl Anne Watson, Law Department

From: Bob Bersani, Inspectional Services Department

Subject: Safety of Tenants in Rental Units

I concur in the rewording proposed by Mr. Mike Hansen in his 9/13/00 memo as concerning the lighting intensity requirement proposed under 8.68.010 in the draft Ordinance.

The phrase could be reworded as follows:

from – “The intensity of lighting for incandescent light bulbs shall be not less than 25 watts nor more than 60 watts, or for fluorescent tubes to the equivalent of 25 watts to 60 watts, and”

to – “ The intensity of lighting shall be from 250 to 900 lumens(approximately equivalent to 20 to 60 watts incandescent), or for fluorescent tubes to be equivalent to this intensity, and”

Sincerely,


R. R. Bersani



City of Cambridge Police Department

TELEPHONE
(617)349-3300FAX
(617)349-3320Ronnie Watson
Police CommissionerRobert W. Healy
City ManagerCristina Beamud, Esq.
Legal Advisor

September 28, 2000

Harold F. Murphy
SuperintendentDavid J. Degout
SuperintendentMichael D. Giacoppo
Deputy SuperintendentTimothy F. McCusker
Deputy SuperintendentMs. Nancy E. Glowa
First Assistant City Solicitor
City of Cambridge
Office of the City Solicitor
795 Massachusetts Avenue
Cambridge, Mass. 02139

Re: Safety of Tenants in Rental Units

Thomas F. O'Connor
Deputy SuperintendentGloria A. Pimentel
Deputy SuperintendentLieutenant J. Michael Walsh
Community Relations

Please be advised, the proposed ordinance regarding locks and lighting for rental units has been reviewed by the Command Staff and all concur with the proposed regulations.

However, clarification on enforcement strategies will need to be discussed once the ordinance is passed.

Raymond T. Santilli
Planning, Budget
& Personnel

Respectfully submitted,

Officer Frank Pasquarello
Public Information OfficerRonnie Watson
Police Commissioner

RW:smc

Cc: Donna Lopez, Deputy City Clerk
Cheryl Anne Watson, Esq.
Cristina Beamud, Esq.
Superintendent Harold F. Murphy, Jr.
Lieutenant J. Michael WalshADDRESS ALL COMMUNICATIONS TO
5 WESTERN AVENUE, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139



The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

IN THE YEAR ONE THOUSAND NINE HUNDRED AND NINETY-SIX

AN ACT

TO PERMIT THE ENACTMENT OF A PROVISION REQUIRING AN
INTERCOM SYSTEM IN ALL APARTMENT HOUSES HAVING MORE
THAN THREE APARTMENTS.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the
authority of the same, as follows:*

SECTION 1. Section 3R of Chapter 143 as appearing in the official
1995 edition, is hereby amended by adding in the first sentence of
the first paragraph after the word mechanism, the following
language: and intercom to each apartment.

To the Honorable Senate and House of Representatives of The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
in General Court assembled.

The undersigned, citizens ofCambridge..... respectfully
petition for the passage of the accompanying bill or resolve, and/or for legislation to permit the enactment
of a provision requiring an intercom system in all apartment houses
having more than three apartments.

Petitioners are requested to sign names and addresses legibly.

City of Cambridge

1PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Councillor Michael A. Sullivan, Chair

Councillor Henrietta Davis

Councillor Marjorie Decker

In City Council October 23, 2000

The Public Safety Committee conducted a public meeting on Wednesday, September 6, 2000 in the Ackermann Room, City Hall, Cambridge, Massachusetts.

The purpose of the meeting was to continue the discussion on the safety issues of lighting and locks in the city and to establish an agenda for the committee.

Present at the meeting were: Councillor Michael A. Sullivan, Chair of the Committee, Councillors Henrietta Davis and Jim Braude, Robert Bersani, Inspectional Services Commissioner, Harold Murphy, Superintendent of Police, Lieutenant J. Michael Walsh, Police Department, Nancy Glowa, First Assistant City Solicitor, Cheryl Anne Watson, Legal Counsel and Donna P. Lopez, Deputy City Clerk.

Councillor Sullivan opened the meeting by giving a brief overview of the subject of the meeting. Meetings were held in December, 1998 and in June, 1999 due to a petition received by the City Council, he said.

Nancy Glowa, First Assistant City Solicitor, stated that research was done and the city could promulgate an ordinance in the Municipal Code in Chapter Eight by adding a new Chapter 8.68 entitled "Safety of Tenants in Rental Units" **ATTACHMENT A**. She stated that the Police, Inspectional Services and Fire Departments should review this ordinance amendment. She proceeded to review the text of the ordinance as it relates to lighting in rental units.

A discussion ensued regarding wattage. Councillor Sullivan asked if there was a recommendation on wattage.

Mike Hansen, resident of North Cambridge, stated that he has worked with other cities and towns to promulgate regulations on lighting. The Police Web site, he said, has good information on lighting safety. He stated that wattage has no affect on the amount of light put out. Twenty-five watts is difficult to read by, he said. Foot-candle measurement is the most measurable, he said. He suggested the last line be stricken from the ordinance and the wording "all lighting should be full cut off lights" be added. Councillor Davis stated that a definition is needed.

Committee Report #1

S 355

A report from Councillor Sullivan,
Chair of the Public Safety Committee,
for a meeting held on September 6, 2000
for the purpose of the meeting was to
continue the discussion on the safety
issues of lighting and locks in the city
and to establish an agenda for the
committee.

In City Council October 23, 2000

Report Accepted.

Placed on file

Order Adopted.

Referred Draft #3 to Ord. Comm.

Home Rule Petition Placed on file on
motion of Councillor Sullivan.