



City of Cambridge

AMENDED ORDER

1.

IN CITY COUNCIL

April 6, 1992

COUNCILLOR WALSH

WHEREAS: A complaint has been received which indicates that the administrators of the CASCAP residence at 71 Hammond Street appear to be in violation of the city's set back requirements which specify how close structures are permitted to be to the property line; and

WHEREAS: Within the past month, a deck has been added to the side of the CASCAP residence and extends completely to the lot line of the abutting residence 67 Hammond Street; and

ORDERED: That the City Manager be and hereby is requested to direct the Commissioner of Inspectional Services and the Executive Director of the Commission for Persons with Disabilities to investigate the content of the complaint thoroughly, determine if set back requirements have been violated and take whatever measures are necessary to assure that CASCAP principals are in total compliance with all City of Cambridge Municipal Code requirements; and be it further

ORDERED: That the City Manager report back to the Council on this matter by April 27, 1992.

In City Council April 6, 1992.

Adopted as amended by the affirmative vote of nine members.

Attest:- John E. Flynn, Deputy City Clerk.

A true copy;

ATTEST:-

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "John E. Flynn".

John E. Flynn, Deputy City Clerk



City of Cambridge

ORIGINAL ORDER

1.

IN CITY COUNCIL

April 6, 1992

COUNCILLOR WALSH

WHEREAS: A complaint has been received which indicates that the administrators of the CASCAP residence at 71 Hammond Street appear to be in violation of the city's set back requirements which specify how close structures are permitted to be to the property line; and

WHEREAS: Within the past month, a deck has been added to the side of the CASCAP residence and extends completely to the lot line of the abutting residence 67 Hammond Street; and

WHEREAS: When questioned about it prior to its completion, a CASCAP representative indicated to the abutting property owner that it was to be a handicapped ramp and for that reason was exempt from the code requirements. Upon completion, it was obvious that construction of a ramp was not involved at all. Rather, there are only two sets of steep stairs leading to a very broad deck; and

WHEREAS: The owner of the abutting property indicates that in response to his inquiry about this at the Inspectional Services Department, he was advised that Inspectional Services had been told by CASCAP that it was to be a handicapped ramp; and

WHEREAS: Repeated calls to Inspectional Services to advise that the alleged ramp is in fact a deck which is not only in violation of the city's set back requirements, but also a fire hazard since the CASCAP residents use the deck primarily as a smoking area, have not been returned; and

WHEREAS: Some of the CASCAP residents have not been timid about flinging cigarette butts from the deck on to the abutting owner's property; now therefore be it

ORDERED: That the City Manager be and hereby is requested to direct the Commissioner of Inspectional Services and the Executive Director of the Commission for Persons with Disabilities to investigate the content of the complaint thoroughly, determine if set back requirements have been violated and take whatever measures are necessary to assure that CASCAP principals are in total compliance with all City of Cambridge Municipal Code requirements; and be it further

ORDERED: That the City Manager report back to the Council on this matter by April 27, 1992.



City of Cambridge

NC

IN CITY COUNCIL

Councillor Walsh

April 6, 1992

WHEREAS: A complaint has been received which indicates that the administrators of the CASCAP residence at 71 Hammond Street appear to be in violation of the city's set back requirements which specify how close structures are permitted to be to the property line. Within the past month a deck has been added to the side of the CASCAP residence and extends completely to the lot line of the abutting residence at 67 Hammond Street. When questioned about it prior to its completion, a CASCAP representative indicated to the abutting property owner that it was to be a handicapped ramp and for that reason was exempt from the code requirements. Upon completion, it was obvious that construction of a ramp was not involved at all. Rather, there are only two sets of steep stairs leading to a very broad deck; and

WHEREAS: The owner of the abutting property indicates that in response to his inquiry about this at Inspectional Services Department (ISD), he was advised that ISD had been told by CASCAP that it was to be a handicapped ramp. Repeated calls to ISD to advise that the alleged ramp is in fact a deck which is not only in violation of the city's set back requirements but also a fire hazard since the CASCAP residents use the deck primarily as a smoking area, have not been returned. Some of the CASCAP residents have not been timid about flinging cigarette butts from the deck on to the abutting owner's property; now therefore be it

ORDERED: That the City Manager request both the Commissioner of Inspectional Services and the Executive Director of the Commission for Persons with Disabilities to investigate the content of the complaint thoroughly, determine if set back requirements have been violated and take whatever measures are necessary to assure that CASCAP principals are in total compliance with all City of Cambridge Municipal Code requirements; and be it further

ORDERED: That the City Manager report back to the Council on this matter by April 27, 1992.



CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

CITY HALL, CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS 02139

(617) 498-9094

William H. Walsh

City Councillor

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM:

DATE: Wednesday, April 1, 1992

FROM: Personal Office of Councillor William H. Walsh
Athenaeum House
215 First Street
Cambridge, MA. 02142
TELEPHONE: 617 354-3366
FAX NUMBER: 617 876-9331

TO: Ms. Sandra Albano, Ass't to City Council
City Hall, Cambridge, MA. 02139

TELEPHONE NUMBER:

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING THIS PAGE: 2

If you do not receive the number of pages as indicated above,
please telephone immediately.

REMARKS: Councillor Walsh requests that the enclosed Council Order
regarding the CASCAP residence on Hammond Street be included
in the NON-CONSENT AGENDA for the Council Session of
APRIL 6, 1992.

Signature of person in Councillor Walsh's office transmitting
the document(s).

David F. Noonan *David Noonan*

*****Please ring me to confirm receipt and filing of this Order.

As usual, your courtesy and cooperation
are much appreciated.

of the set back requirements.
at 21 Hammond Street is in violation
Councillor Walsh re: CASCAP residence
Non-Consent Order # 1



City of Cambridge

1.

IN CITY COUNCIL

April 6, 1992

COUNCILLOR WALSH

WHEREAS: A complaint has been received which indicates that the administrators of the CASCAP residence at 71 Hammond Street appear to be in violation of the city's set back requirements which specify how close structures are permitted to be to the property line; and

WHEREAS: Within the past month, a deck has been added to the side of the CASCAP residence and extends completely to the lot line of the abutting residence 67 Hammond Street; and

WHEREAS: When questioned about it prior to its completion, a CASCAP representative indicated to the abutting property owner that it was to be a handicapped ramp and for that reason was exempt from the code requirements. Upon completion, it was obvious that construction of a ramp was not involved at all. Rather, there are only two sets of steep stairs leading to a very broad deck; and

WHEREAS: The owner of the abutting property indicates that in response to his inquiry about this at the Inspectional Services Department, he was advised that Inspectional Services had been told by CASCAP that it was to be a handicapped ramp; and

WHEREAS: Repeated calls to Inspectional Services to advise that the alleged ramp is in fact a deck which is not only in violation of the city's set back requirements, but also a fire hazard since the CASCAP residents use the deck primarily as a smoking area, have not been returned; and

WHEREAS: Some of the CASCAP residents have not been timid about flinging cigarette butts from the deck on to the abutting owner's property; now therefore be it

ORDERED: That the City Manager be and hereby is requested to direct the Commissioner of Inspectional Services and the Executive Director of the Commission for Persons with Disabilities to investigate the content of the complaint thoroughly, determine if set back requirements have been violated and take whatever measures are necessary to assure that CASCAP principals are in total compliance with all City of Cambridge Municipal Code requirements; and be it further

ORDERED: That the City Manager report back to the Council on this matter by April 27, 1992.

Non-Consent Order # 1 F-130

Councillor Walsh re: CASCAP residence
at 71 Hammond Street is in violation
of the set back requirements.

In City Council,

April 6, 1992

Order Adopted
As Amended