

❖CITY❖OF❖CAMBRIDGE❖

No 17 1885

In Board of Aldermen,

~~Ordered,~~

The Standing Committee on Roads and Bridges, to which was referred a communication from C. F. Ould, remonstrating against the removal of two trees, standing in front of Rogers new block on Main street, near Douglas Street,

Report

That the removal of these trees was asked for on the ground of public necessity, one was in the way of a driveway, and the other completely obstructed the light in the upper portion of the new building. The trees having been removed, no further action is necessary.

For the Committee.
Charles W. Henderson

Report.
Stdy Com. on Roads & Bds
on remonstrances of C. F. Orne
ag^t the removal of certain
trees on main st.

Nov 10. 1885

The removal of the
beautiful trees on our
Main St, causes daily ex-
pressions of sorrow and regret.
I voice the feelings of numbers
who for various reasons cannot
appear before your Honorable
Board.

The beauty the attractiveness
and the healthfulness of
our City depend largely on
our fine, well-shaded
streets. Individual wishes,
or even convenience should
give way to public good.

If it be necessary to sacri-
fice the lower tree to alter
the drive-way, and it cannot
be otherwise arranged,
the public must submit,
but for the tree nearest
Doughlass Street, no such
necessity exists, or is claimed.

Therefore I would earnestly
and respectfully request that
permission for its removal may
not be granted. Respectfully,
C. H. Orne

Remembrance
of C. F. Corne.
Removal of Trees—
Oct. 27. 1885.

In Presence of Accuser. Oct. 27. 1885—
Reference to the Committee on Roads
and Bridges, on the part of this Board—
Attest: Justici A. Jacobs.
City-Clerk.

W. Arthur W. C. W.

Cambridge-port Oct 27. '85

To the Honorable Board of
Mayor and Aldermen.
Gentlemen.

As I am unable
to appear at the hearing
this evening, I beg leave
to represent myself in
writing. I would most
respectfully protest
against the proposed
removal of the two trees
on Main St. in front
of Rogers' new block, near
Douglass Street. Because,
firstly, neither public
convenience, nor public
necessity requires their
removal. Secondly, because
they are sound, handsome
vigorous trees, of great
ornament and utility.